

Defendant: European Commission (represented by: T. Maxian Rusche and R. Sauer, acting as Agents, and by A. Luke and C. Maurer, lawyers)

Re:

Application to annul in part Commission decision C (2013) 4424 final of 18 December 2013 to open the procedure under Article 108(2) TFEU regarding measures implemented by the Federal Republic of Germany in favour of renewable electricity and of energy-intensive users (State aid SA.33995 (2013/C) (ex 2013/NN)).

Operative part of the order

1. *There is no longer any need to adjudicate on the present action.*
2. *There is no longer any need to adjudicate on the application for intervention submitted by the EFTA Surveillance Authority.*
3. *Flachglas Torgau GmbH, Saint-Gobain Isover G+H AG and Saint-Gobain Oberland AG shall bear their own costs and those incurred by the European Commission.*
4. *The EFTA Surveillance Authority shall bear its own costs.*

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 223, 14.7.2014.

Order of the General Court of 9 June 2015 — Ineos Manufacturing Deutschland and Others v Commission

(Case T-280/14) ⁽¹⁾

(State aid — Measures adopted by Germany in favour of electricity generated from renewable energy sources and of energy-intensive undertakings — Decision to open the procedure under Article 108(2) TFEU — Adoption of the final decision after the action was brought — No need to adjudicate)

(2015/C 294/78)

Language of the case: German

Parties

Applicants: Ineos Manufacturing Deutschland GmbH (Cologne, Germany); Ineos Phenol GmbH (Gladbeck, Germany); and Ineos Vinyls Deutschland GmbH (Wilhelmshaven, Germany) (represented by: C. Arhold, L. Petersen, F.-A. Wesche, N. Wimmer and T. Woltering, lawyers)

Defendant: European Commission (represented by: T. Maxian Rusche and R. Sauer, acting as Agents, and by C. von Donat and G. Quardt, lawyers)

Re:

Application to annul in part Commission decision C (2013) 4424 final of 18 December 2013 to open the procedure under Article 108(2) TFEU regarding measures implemented by the Federal Republic of Germany in favour of renewable electricity and of energy-intensive users (State aid SA.33995 (2013/C) (ex 2013/NN)).

Operative part of the order

1. *There is no longer any need to adjudicate on the present action.*
2. *There is no longer any need to adjudicate on the application for intervention submitted by the EFTA Surveillance Authority.*
3. *Ineos Manufacturing Deutschland GmbH, Ineos Phenol GmbH and Ineos Vinyls Deutschland GmbH shall bear their own costs and those incurred by the European Commission.*
4. *The EFTA Surveillance Authority shall bear its own costs.*

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 223, 14.7.2014.

Order of the General Court of 9 June 2015 — Fels-Werke v Commission

(Case T-281/14) ⁽¹⁾

(State aid — Measures adopted by Germany in favour of electricity generated from renewable energy sources and of energy-intensive undertakings — Decision to open the procedure under Article 108(2) TFEU — Adoption of the final decision after the action was brought — No need to adjudicate)

(2015/C 294/79)

Language of the case: German

Parties

Applicant: Fels-Werke GmbH (Goslar, Germany) (represented by: C. Arhold, N. Wimmer, F.-A. Wesche, L. Petersen and T. Woltering lawyers)

Defendant: European Commission (represented by: T. Maxian Rusche and R. Sauer, acting as Agents, and by C. von Donat and G. Quardt, lawyers)

Re:

Application to annul in part Commission decision C (2013) 4424 final of 18 December 2013 to open the procedure under Article 108(2) TFEU regarding measures implemented by the Federal Republic of Germany in favour of renewable electricity and of energy-intensive users (State aid SA.33995 (2013/C) (ex 2013/NN)).

Operative part of the order

1. *There is no longer any need to adjudicate on the present action.*
2. *There is no longer any need to adjudicate on the application for intervention submitted by the EFTA Surveillance Authority.*
3. *Fels-Werke GmbH shall bear its own costs and those incurred by the European Commission.*
4. *The EFTA Surveillance Authority shall bear its own costs.*

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 223, 14.7.2014.