



Reports of Cases

Order of the Court (Eighth Chamber) of 12 June 2014 — Municipiul Piatra Neamț

(Case C-13/14)

(Reference for a preliminary ruling — Manifest inadmissibility)

1. *Questions referred for a preliminary ruling — Jurisdiction of the national court — Assessment of the need for and the relevance of the questions referred (Art. 267 TFEU) (see paras 8, 9)*
2. *Questions referred for a preliminary ruling — Admissibility — Reference giving no details of the relevant facts or legislation and not setting out the reasons for making that reference to the Court of Justice — Manifest inadmissibility (Art. 267 TFEU; Statue of the Court of Justice, Art. 23; Rules of Procedure of the Court of Justice, Art. 94) (see para. 10-16)*

Re:

Request for a preliminary ruling — Curtea de Apel Bacău — Interpretation of Articles 1(2) and 2(2) of Council Regulation (EC, Euratom) No 2988/95 of 18 December 1995 on the protection of the European Communities financial interests (OJ 1995 L 312, p. 1) — Application of less favourable national legislation to a previously concluded public contract — Actual or potential threat to the EU budget — Presumption of harm based on the finding that the rules for concluding public contracts have been infringed.

Operative part

The request for a preliminary ruling from the Curtea de Apel Bacău (Romania), by Decision of 7 January 2014 (Case C-13/14) is manifestly inadmissible