

Parties to the main proceedings

Applicant: Marie Matoušková, court commissioner in inheritance proceedings

Persons concerned by the inheritance proceedings: Misha Martinus and Elisabeth Jekaterina Martinus, represented by David Sedlák as trustee; Beno Jeriël Eljada Martinus

Question referred

If an inheritance settlement agreement concluded on behalf of a minor by his or her trustee requires the approval of a court in order to be valid, is that decision on the part of the court a measure within the meaning of Article 1(1)(b) or a measure within the meaning of Article 1(3)(f) of Council Regulation (EC) No 2201/2003⁽¹⁾ of 27 November 2003 concerning jurisdiction and the recognition and enforcement of judgments in matrimonial matters and matters of parental responsibility, repealing Regulation (EC) No 1347/2000?

⁽¹⁾ OJ 2003 L 338, p. 1.

Request for a preliminary ruling from the Nejvyšší správní soud (Czech Republic) lodged on 25 August 2014 — PST CLC a.s. v Generální ředitelství cel

(Case C-405/14)

(2014/C 431/17)

Language of the case: Czech

Referring court

Nejvyšší správní soud

Parties to the main proceedings

Applicant: PST CLC a.s.

Defendant: Generální ředitelství cel

Question referred

Was Commission Regulation (EC) No 384/2004⁽¹⁾ of 1 March 2004 concerning the classification of certain goods in the Combined Nomenclature valid at the time of its effectiveness from 22 March 2004 to 22 December 2009 insofar as point 2 of the annex thereto is concerned, which specifies that products consisting of a heat sink and a fan fall within CN Code 8414 59 30, and was it thus applicable to the present case?

⁽¹⁾ OJ 2004 L 64, p. 21.

Request for a preliminary ruling from the Wojewódzki Sąd Administracyjny w Warszawie (Poland) lodged on 27 August 2014 — Wrocław — Miasto na prawach powiatu v Minister Infrastruktury i Rozwoju

(Case C-406/14)

(2014/C 431/18)

Language of the case: Polish

Referring court

Wojewódzki Sąd Administracyjny w Warszawie

Parties to the main proceedings

Applicant: Wrocław — Miasto na prawach powiatu

Defendant: Minister Infrastruktury i Rozwoju

Questions referred

1. In the light of Article 25 of Directive 2004/18/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 31 March 2004 on the coordination of procedures for the award of public works contracts, public supply contracts and public service contracts⁽¹⁾ is the contracting authority allowed to stipulate in the tender specifications that the economic operator is required to perform at least 25 % of the works covered by the contract using its own resources?
2. If the answer to the first question is in the negative, does the application of the requirement described in that question in a procedure for the award of a public contract result in an infringement of provisions of EU law which justifies the necessity to make a financial correction pursuant to Article 98 of Council Regulation (EC) No 1083/2006 of 11 July 2006 laying down general provisions on the European Regional Development Fund, the European Social Fund and the Cohesion Fund and repealing Regulation (EC) No 1260/1999⁽²⁾?

⁽¹⁾ OJ 2004 L 134, p. 114.

⁽²⁾ OJ 2006 L 210, p. 25.

Request for a preliminary ruling from the Commissione Tributaria Regionale di Mestre-Venezia (Italy) lodged on 3 September 2014 — Fratelli De Pra SpA and SAIV SpA v Agenzia Entrate — Direzione Provinciale Ufficio Controlli Belluno and Agenzia Entrate — Direzione Provinciale Ufficio Controlli Vicenza

(Case C-416/14)

(2014/C 431/19)

Language of the case: Italian

Referring court

Commissione Tributaria Regionale di Mestre-Venezia

Parties to the main proceedings

Appellants: Fratelli De Pra SpA and SAIV SpA

Respondents: Agenzia Entrate — Direzione Provinciale Ufficio Controlli Belluno and Agenzia Entrate — Direzione Provinciale Ufficio Controlli Vicenza

Questions referred

1. With regard to terminal equipment for a terrestrial mobile radio communication service, are the following provisions of national legislation compatible with EU law (Directives 1999/5/EC, ⁽¹⁾ 2002/19/EC, ⁽²⁾ 2002/20/EC, ⁽³⁾ 2002/21/EC ⁽⁴⁾ and 2002/22/EC ⁽⁵⁾):

— Article 2(4) of Decree-Law No 4/2014 (subsequently converted into Law No 50/2014);

— Article 160 of Legislative Decree No 259/2003;

— Article 21 of the Tariff annexed to Presidential Decree No 641/1972;

which, equating terminal equipment with radio stations, require a user to obtain a general authorisation and to be issued with a special licence for a radio station, and deem those activities to be chargeable events?