Request for a preliminary ruling from the Krajský súd v Prešove (Slovakia) lodged on 4 July 2014 — CD Consulting s.r.o. v Anna Pančurová and Others

(Case C-328/14)

(2014/C 351/03)

Language of the case: Slovak

Referring court

Krajský súd v Prešove

Parties to the main proceedings

Applicant: CD Consulting s.r.o.

Defendants: Anna Pančurová and Others

Question referred

Must Article 6(1) of Council Directive 93/13/EEC (1) of 5 April 1993 on unfair terms in consumer contracts and Article 4 of Council Directive 87/102/EEC (2) of 22 December 1986 for the approximation of the laws, regulations and administrative provisions of the Member States concerning consumer credit be interpreted as precluding legislation of a Member State, such as the legislation at issue in the main proceedings, which in principle does not allow the national court deciding on rights under an endorsed bill of exchange at any stage of the proceedings to examine of its own motion the agreement and the basis of the legal relationship and the possible unfair nature of a contractual term and any breach of the law regulating the consequences of the failure to state the APR in the consumer credit agreement from which the bill of exchange arose?

Appeal brought on 15 July 2014 by Adler Modemärkte AG against the judgment of the General Court (Ninth Chamber) delivered on 14 May 2014 in Case T-160/12 Adler Modemärkte AG v Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs)

(Case C-343/14 P)

(2014/C 351/04)

Language of the case: German

Parties

Appellant: Adler Modemärkte AG (represented by: J.-C. Plate, Rechtsanwalt)

Other parties to the proceedings: Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs), Blufin SpA

Form of order sought

The appellant claims that the Court should:

- set aside the judgment under appeal,
- refer the case back to the General Court,
- order the Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) to pay the costs.

⁽¹⁾ OJ 1993 L 95, p. 29.

^{(&}lt;sup>2</sup>) OJ 1993 L 42, p. 48.