

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- declare that the United Kingdom, by the requirement that a claimant for Child Benefit or Child tax Credit have a right to reside in the United Kingdom, has failed to comply with its obligations under Regulation (EC) No 883/2004 ⁽¹⁾;
- order the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland to pay the costs.

Pleas in law and main arguments

The Commission maintains that in requiring a claimant of Child Benefit and Child Tax Credit to have a right to reside in the United Kingdom as a condition of being treated as resident there, the United Kingdom has imposed a condition that Regulation (EC) No 883/2004 does not permit.

Alternatively the Commission submits that by imposing a condition of entitlement to social security benefits that is automatically met by its own nationals the United Kingdom has created a situation of direct discrimination against nationals of other Member States and thus breached article 4 of Regulation (EC) No 883/2004.

⁽¹⁾ Regulation (EC) No 883/2004 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 29 April 2004 on the coordination of social security systems OJ L 166, p. 1.

**Request for a preliminary ruling from the Bundesgerichtshof (Germany) lodged on 2 July 2014 —
Marchon Germany GmbH v Yvonne Karaszkievicz**

(Case C-315/14)

(2014/C 329/04)

Language of the case: German

Referring court

Bundesgerichtshof

Parties to the main proceedings

Defendant and appellant: Marchon Germany GmbH

Applicant and respondent: Yvonne Karaszkievicz

Question referred

Must the first indent of Article 17(2)(a) [of Council Directive 86/653/EEC of 18 December 1986 on the coordination of the laws of the Member States relating to self-employed commercial agents] ⁽¹⁾ be interpreted as precluding the application of a national provision under which 'new customers' can also be customers acquired by the commercial agent who have already maintained business relations with the principal for products sold by him from a range of products but not for products whose sole representation the principal has entrusted to the agent?

⁽¹⁾ OJ 1986 L 382, p. 17.

**Request for a preliminary ruling from the Bundesfinanzhof (Germany) lodged on 9 July 2014 —
Wolfgang und Dr Wilfried Rey Grundstücksgemeinschaft GbR v Finanzamt Krefeld**

(Case C-332/14)

(2014/C 329/05)

Language of the case: German

Referring court

Bundesfinanzhof