

2. Are Articles 2, 3(1)(c), 6(1) and 6(3) of Directive 2012/13/EU⁽²⁾ to be interpreted as precluding the accused from being required to appoint a person authorised to accept service, where the period for bringing an appeal begins to run upon service on the person authorised and ultimately it is irrelevant whether the accused is at all aware of the offence of which he is accused?

⁽¹⁾ Directive 2010/64/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 20 October 2010 on the right to interpretation and translation in criminal proceedings, OJ 2010 L 280, p. 1.

⁽²⁾ Directive 2012/13/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 22 May 2012 on the right to information in criminal proceedings, OJ 2012 L 142, p. 1.

Action brought on 20 May 2014 — European Commission v Republic of Austria

(Case C-244/14)

(2014/C 253/23)

Language of the case: German

Parties

Applicant: European Commission (represented by: G. Braun and J. Hottiaux, acting as Agents)

Defendant: Republic of Austria

Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- declare that the Republic of Austria has failed to fulfil its obligations under Directive 2004/49/EC in so far as it failed to fully to transpose Articles 3(k), 10(5), 11(2), 17(1), 19(2), 22(3) and 25(3) of that directive;
- order the Republic of Austria to pay the costs.

Pleas in law and main arguments

Following an examination of the legal position in the Republic of Austria, the Commission expressed concerns regarding the correct transposition of some of the provisions of Directive 2004/49/EC⁽¹⁾. The concerns relate essentially to provisions concerning safety certification and safety authorisation, national safety authorities, investigations, the investigation body and safety recommendations.

⁽¹⁾ OJ 2004 L 164, p. 44.

Request for a preliminary ruling from the Conseil d'État (France) lodged on 26 May 2014 — Air France — KLM v Ministère des finances et des comptes publics

(Case C-250/14)

(2014/C 253/24)

Language of the case: French

Referring court

Conseil d'État

Parties to the main proceedings

Applicant: Air France — KLM

Defendant: Ministère des finances et des comptes publics