V

(Announcements)

COURT PROCEEDINGS

COURT OF JUSTICE

Request for a preliminary ruling from the Finanzgericht Berlin-Brandenburg (Germany) lodged on 26 March 2014 — TMK Europe GmbH v Hauptzollamt Frankfurt (Oder)

(Case C-143/14)

(2014/C 235/02)

Language of the case: German

Referring court

Finanzgericht Berlin-Brandenburg

Parties to the main proceedings

Applicant: TMK Europe GmbH

Defendant: Hauptzollamt Frankfurt (Oder)

Question referred

Is Council Regulation (EC) No 2320/97 (¹) of 17 November 1997 imposing definitive anti-dumping duties on imports of certain seamless pipes and tubes of iron or non-alloy steel originating in Hungary, Poland, Russia, the Czech Republic, Romania and the Slovak Republic, repealing Regulation (EEC) No 1189/93 and terminating the proceeding in respect of such imports originating in the Republic of Croatia invalid because the Commission, in breach of the requirements applicable to the determination of injury laid down in Article 3(7) of Council Regulation (EC) No 384/96 (²) of 22 December 1995 on protection against dumped imports from countries not members of the European Community, assumed such (injury) to exist without taking into account in this regard the fact that, pursuant to an unpublished decision of 25 November 1994 (Case IV/35.304), adopted on the basis of, inter alia, Article 14(3) of Council Regulation No 17 of 6 February 1962, First Regulation implementing Articles 81 EC and 82 EC (OJ English Special Edition 19591962, p. 87), the Commission had carried out an investigation into the possible existence of anti-competitive practices concerning pipes and tubes of non-alloy steel which might possibly infringe Article 53 of the Agreement on the European Economic Area of 2 May 1992 (OJ 1994 L 1, pp. 3 to 522) and Article 81 EC?

Request for a preliminary ruling from the Bundesverwaltungsgericht (Germany) lodged on 31 March 2014 — Bundesrepublik Deutschland v Nordzucker AG

(Case C-148/14)

(2014/C 235/03)

Language of the case: German

Referring court

⁽¹⁾ OJ 1997 L 322, p. 1.

⁽²⁾ OJ 1996 L 56, p. 1.