

- (bb) to purchase government bonds of selected Member States only (selectivity)?
 - (cc) to purchase government bonds of programme countries in addition to assistance programmes of the European Financial Stability Facility or of the European Stability Mechanism (parallelism)?
 - (dd) to undermine the limits and conditions laid down by assistance programmes of the European Financial Stability Facility or of the European Stability Mechanism (circumvention)?
- (b) Having regard to the prohibition of monetary financing, is Article 123 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union to be interpreted as permitting the Eurosystem, alternatively or cumulatively,
- (aa) to purchase government bonds without quantitative limits (volume)?
 - (bb) to purchase government bonds without a minimum time gap from their issue on the primary market (market pricing)?
 - (cc) to hold all purchased government bonds to maturity (interference with market logic)?
 - (dd) to purchase government bonds without minimum credit standing requirements (default risk)?
 - (ee) to accept the same treatment of the European System of Central Banks as private and other holders of government bonds (debt cut)?
 - (ff) to influence pricing, by communicating the intention to purchase or otherwise, coinciding with the issue of government bonds by Member States of the euro area (encouragement to purchase newly issued bonds)?

Request for a preliminary ruling from the Tribunal du Travail de Nivelles (Belgium) lodged on 10 February 2014 — Charlotte Rosselle v Institut national d'assurance maladie-invalidité (INAMI), Union nationale des mutualités libres (UNM Libres)

(Case C-65/14)

(2014/C 129/16)

Language of the case: French

Referring court

Tribunal du Travail de Nivelles

Parties to the main proceedings

Applicant: Charlotte Rosselle

Defendants: Institut national d'assurance maladie-invalidité (INAMI), Union nationale des mutualités libres (UNM Libres)

Intervener: Institut pour l'Égalité des Femmes et des Hommes (IEFH)

Question referred

Do sections 1 and 2 of title III, chapter III, of the Royal Decree of 3 July 1996 implementing the Law of 14 July 1994 coordinating compulsory medical care and sickness benefit insurance infringe Council Directive 92/85/EEC of 19 October 1992 on the introduction of measures to encourage improvements in the safety and health at work of pregnant workers and workers who have recently given birth or are breastfeeding⁽¹⁾ and Directive 2006/54/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 5 July 2006 on the implementation of the principle of equal opportunities and equal treatment of men and women in matters of employment and occupation (recast)⁽²⁾ in failing to provide for an exemption from the minimum contribution period for a public servant assigned non-active status for personal reasons who is on maternity leave, whereas such an exemption is provided for a public servant who has resigned or has been dismissed?

⁽¹⁾ OJ 1992 L 348, p. 1.

⁽²⁾ OJ 2006 L 204, p. 23.
