# Operative part of the order

The Court of Justice of the European Union manifestly lacks jurisdiction to answer the questions referred by the Tribunalul Satu Mare (Romania) by its decision of 7 March 2014.

(1) OJ C 329, 22.9.2014.

Order of the Court (Tenth Chamber) of 12 February 2015 — Walcher Meßtechnik GmbH v Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (OHIM)

(Appeal — Rules of Procedure of the Court — Article 181 — Community trade mark — Application for Community word mark HIPERDRIVE — Absolute grounds for refusal — Descriptive character — Regulation (EC) No 207/2009 — Article 7(1)(c) — General principle of equal treatment)

(2015/C 146/18)

Language of the case: German

### **Parties**

Appellant: Walcher Meßtechnik GmbH (represented by S. Walter, Rechtsanwalt)

Other party to the proceedings: Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (OHIM)

## Operative part of the order

- 1) The appeal is dismissed.
- 2) Walcher Meßtechnik GmbH shall pay the costs.

(1) OJ C 351, 06.10.2014.

Request for a preliminary ruling from the tribunal de première instance de Namur (Belgium) lodged on 20 January 2015 — Criminal proceedings against Sébastien Andre

(Case C-23/15)

(2015/C 146/19)

Language of the case: French

#### Referring court

Tribunal de première instance de Namur

# Party to the main proceedings

Sébastien Andre

By Order of 19 March 2015, the Court (Fourth Chamber) has declared that the request for a preliminary ruling is manifestly inadmissible.