### Pleas in law and main arguments

Registered Community trade mark in respect of which a declaration of invalidity has been sought: The figurative mark 'GUGLER' for goods and services in Classes 6, 17, 19, 22, 37, 39 and 42— Community trade mark registration No 3 324 902

Proprietor of the Community trade mark: The other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal

Applicant for the declaration of invalidity of the Community trade mark: The applicant

Grounds for the application for a declaration of invalidity: The grounds were those laid down in Article 52(1)(b) and 53(1)(c) in conjunction with Article 8(4) CTMR

Decision of the Cancellation Division: Declared the contested Community trade mark invalid

Decision of the Board of Appeal: Annulled the contested decision and rejected the application for a declaration of invalidity

Pleas in law: Infringement of Article 52(1)(b) and 53(1)(c) CTMR

Action brought on 20 December 2013 — Brammer v OHIM — Office Ernest T. Freylinger (EUROMARKER)

(Case T-683/13)

(2014/C 61/24)

Language in which the application was lodged: German

## **Parties**

Applicant: Brammer GmbH (Vienna, Austria) (represented by: R. Kornfeld, lawyer)

Defendant: Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal: Office Ernest T. Freylinger SA (Strassen, Luxembourg)

# Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Court should:

in so far as the First Board of Appeal of the Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) also

confirmed the decision of the Opposition Division of 4 July 2012, in that it upheld the opposition also for the supply of services in Class 38 and in Class 42,

- find that the Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) made an error;
- annul the decision of the First Board of Appeal of the Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) of 8 October 2013 in Case R 1653/2012-1;
- order the defendant OHIM to pay the costs.

### Pleas in law and main arguments

Applicant for a Community trade mark: Brammer GmbH

Community trade mark concerned: Word mark 'EUROMARKER' for services in Classes 38, 42 and 45 — Community trade mark application Nr 9 852 849

Proprietor of the mark or sign cited in the opposition proceedings: Office Ernest T. Freylinger SA

Mark or sign cited in opposition: Word mark 'EURIMARK' for services in Classes 35, 41, 42 and 45 — Community trade mark No 5 850 111

Decision of the Opposition Division: The opposition was upheld

Decision of the Board of Appeal: The appeal was dismissed

Pleas in law: Infringement of Article 8(1)(b) of Regulation No 207/2009

Action brought on 24 December 2013 — TUI Deutschland GmbH v OHIM — Infinity Real Estate & Project Development (Sensimar)

(Case T-706/13)

(2014/C 61/25)

Language in which the application was lodged: German

#### **Parties**

Applicant: TUI Deutschland GmbH (Hanover, Germany) (represented by: D. von Schultz, lawyer)

Defendant: Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs)