order the Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) to pay the costs.

### Pleas in law and main arguments

Applicant for a Community trade mark: Dubek Ltd

Community trade mark concerned: figurative mark '20 CLASS A FILTER CIGARETTES Mustang' for goods in Class 34 — Community trade mark application No 6 065 098

Proprietor of the mark or sign cited in the opposition proceedings: the applicant

Mark or sign cited in opposition: German word mark and figurative mark 'MUSTANG' for goods in Classes 9, 14, 18 and 25

Decision of the Opposition Division: the opposition was dismissed

Decision of the Board of Appeal: the appeal was dismissed

Pleas in law: Infringement of Article 8(1)(b) and (5) of Regulation (EC) No 207/2009

Action brought on 20 November 2013 — alfavet Tierarzneimittel v OHIM — Millet Innovation (Epibac)

(Case T-613/13)

(2014/C 39/40)

Language in which the application was lodged: German

#### **Parties**

Applicant: alfavet Tierarzneimittel GmbH (Neumünster, Germany) (represented by: U. Bender, lawyer)

Defendant: Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal: Millet Innovation SA (Loriol sur Drome, France)

# Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- alter the decision of the Fourth Board of Appeal of 6 September 2013 (Case R 1253/2012-4) in such a way that the opposition is rejected, and
- order the defendant to pay the costs.

## Pleas in law and main arguments

Applicant for a Community trade mark: the applicant

Community trade mark concerned: word mark 'Epibac' for goods in Classes 3, 5 and 31 — Community trade mark application No 6861124

Proprietor of the mark or sign cited in the opposition proceedings: Millet Innovation SA

Mark or sign cited in opposition: Word marks 'EPITACT' for goods in Classes 3, 5 and 10

Decision of the Opposition Division: the opposition was upheld in part

Decision of the Board of Appeal: the appeal was dismissed in part

Pleas in law: Infringement of Article 8(1)(a) and (b) of Regulation (EC) No 207/2009.

Action brought on 25 November 2013 — Ratioparts-Ersatzteile v OHIM — Norwood Promotional Products Europe (NORTHWOOD professional forest equipment)

(Case T-622/13)

(2014/C 39/41)

Language in which the application was lodged: German

## **Parties**

Applicant: Ratioparts-Ersatzteile-Vertriebs GmbH (Euskirchen, Germany) (represented by: M. Koch, lawyer)

Defendant: Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal: Norwood Promotional Products Europe, SL (Tarragona, Spain)

## Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- alter the decision of the Second Board of Appeal of 11 September 2013 (Case R 1244/2012-2) in such a way that opposition No B 176807 is rejected, and
- order the opponent to pay the costs of the opposition proceedings and the appellant to pay the costs of the appeal proceedings.