

Order of the General Court of 4 December 2014 — Talanton v Commission(Case T-165/13) ⁽¹⁾

(Arbitration clause — Pocemon and Perform contracts concluded as part of the Seventh Framework Programme for research, technological development and demonstration (2007-2013) — Eligible costs — Repayment of sums paid — Audit report — No interest in bringing proceedings — Interest in seeking a declaration — Inadmissibility)

(2015/C 056/24)

Language of the case: Greek

Parties

Applicant: Talanton AE — Symvouleftiki-Ekpaideftiki Etaireia Dianomon, Parochis Ypiresion Marketing kai Dioikisis Epicheiriseon (Palaio Faliro, Greece) (represented by: M. Angelopoulos and K. Damis, lawyers)

Defendant: European Commission (represented by: R. Lyal and A. Sauka, acting as Agents, and L. Athanassiou and G. Gerapetritis, lawyers)

Re:

ACTION based on Article 272 TFEU and the first paragraph of Article 340 TFEU seeking a declaration by the Court, first, that the refusal by the Commission to allow as eligible costs certain sums paid to the applicant by way of the implementation of the Perform and Pocemon grant agreements constitutes a breach by the Commission of its contractual obligations, and, secondly, that there is no need to refund part of those sums, or the amount of the liquidate allowance specified by the Commission.

Operative part of the order

1. *The action is dismissed as inadmissible.*
2. *Talanton AE — Symvouleftiki-Ekpaideftiki Etaireia Dianomon, Parochis Ypiresion Marketing kai Dioikisis Epicheiriseon is ordered to pay the costs, including those incurred in the proceedings for interim measures.*

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 156, 1.6.2013.

Order of the General Court of 21 November 2014 — Kinnarps v OHIM (MAKING LIFE BETTER AT WORK)(Case T-697/13) ⁽¹⁾

(Community trade mark — Application for Community word mark MAKING LIFE BETTER AT WORK — Absolute ground for refusal — Lack of distinctiveness — Article 7(1)(b) of Regulation (EC) No 207/2009 — Action in part manifestly unfounded in law and in part manifestly inadmissible)

(2015/C 056/25)

Language of the case: Swedish

Parties

Applicant: Kinnarps AB (Kinnarp, Sweden) (represented by: M. Wahlin, lawyer)

Defendant: Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (represented by: G. Melander and D. Walicka, acting as Agents)

Re:

Action brought against the decision of the Second Board of Appeal of OHIM of 28 October 2013 (Case R 2272/2012-2) concerning an application for registration of the word sign MAKING LIFE BETTER AT WORK as a Community trade mark.

Operative part of the order

1. *The action is dismissed.*
2. *Kinnarps AB is ordered to pay the costs.*

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 93, 29.3.2014.

Order of the General Court of 26 November 2014 — Léon Van Parys v Commission

(Case T-171/14) ⁽¹⁾

(Action for annulment — Customs union — Commission letter informing about the continuation of the suspension of the time-limit for dealing with an application for remission of customs duties — Application for a ruling — Lack of competence of the General Court — No interest in bringing proceedings — Manifest inadmissibility)

(2015/C 056/26)

Language of the case: Dutch

Parties

Applicant: Firma Léon Van Parys (Antwerp, Belgium) (represented by: P. Vlaemminck, B. Van Vooren and R. Verbeke, lawyers)

Defendant: European Commission (represented by: A. Caeiros, B.-R. Killmann and M. van Beek, acting as Agents)

Re:

First, application for annulment of the letter of the European Commission of 24 January 2014 informing the applicant about the continuation of the suspension of the time-limit for dealing with an application for remission of customs duties under Article 907 of Commission Regulation (EEC) No 2454/93 of 2 July 1993 laying down provisions for the implementation of Council Regulation (EEC) No 2913/92 establishing the Community Customs Code (OJ 1993 L 253, p. 1) and, secondly, application for a ruling that Article 909 of Regulation No 2454/93 had effect with regard to the applicant after the judgment of 19 March 2013 in *Firma Van Parys v Commission* (T-324/10, ECR, EU:T:2013:136).

Operative part of the order

1. *The application is dismissed;*
2. *Firma Léon Van Parys is ordered to bear its own costs and to pay those incurred by the European Commission.*

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 151, 19.5.2014.