

Defendant: Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (represented by: V. Melgar, acting as Agent)

Re:

Action brought against the decision of the Second Board of Appeal of OHIM of 4 July 2012 (Case R 2299/2011-2), concerning an application for registration of the word sign VALORES DE FUTURO as a Community trade mark.

Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

1. Dismisses the action;
2. Orders Banco Bilbao Vizcaya Argentaria, SA to pay the costs.

(¹) OJ C 366, 24.11.2012.

Order of the President of the General Court of 27 November 2013 — Oikonomopoulos v Commission

(Case T-483/13 R)

(Interim relief — Investigation conducted by OLAF — Action for damages — Financial and non-material damage allegedly suffered by the applicant — Application for interim measures — Inadmissibility — Lack of urgency)

(2014/C 24/37)

Language of the case: English

Parties

Applicant: Athanassios Oikonomopoulos (Athens, Greece) (represented by: N. Korogiannakis and I. Zarzoura, lawyers)

Defendant: European Commission (represented by: J. Baquero Cruz and A. Sauka, acting as Agents)

Re:

Application for interim measures lodged as part of an action for damages seeking compensation for the damage the applicant suffered in the course of his professional activities and regarding his reputation resulting from allegedly unlawful conduct of the European Anti-Fraud Office (OLAF) as part of an investigation conducted by its agents.

Operative part of the order

1. The application for interim measures is dismissed.
2. Costs are reserved.

Action brought on 27 September 2013 — Izsák and Dabis v European Commission

(Case T-529/13)

(2014/C 24/38)

Language of the case: Hungarian

Parties

Applicants: Balázs-Árpád Izsák (Marosvásárhely, Romania) and Attila Dabis (Budapest, Hungary) (represented by: Dr J. Petneházy Tordáné, lawyer)

Defendant: European Commission

Form of order sought

— Annul Commission Decision C(2013) 4975 of 25 July 2013 rejecting the application for registration of the European citizens' initiative entitled 'Cohesion policy for the equality of the regions and the preservation of regional cultures'.

— Order the Commission to register the initiative and adopt any other measure required by law.

— Order the Commission to pay the costs.

Pleas in law and main arguments

In support of the action, the applicant relies on the following plea(s) in law.

1. First plea in law, alleging the infringement of Article 4(2) of Regulation (EU) No 211/2011 (¹)

— By the first plea the applicants state that their citizens' initiative fulfils all the requirements for registration. Furthermore, they reject as unfounded the Commission's allegation that the proposed citizens' initiative manifestly falls outside the framework of the Commission's powers to submit a proposal for a legal act of the Union for the purpose of implementing the Treaties. According to the applicants, the initiative put forward a proposal which fell within the powers defined by Article 4(2)(c) TFEU (economic, social and territorial cohesion).

2. Second plea in law, alleging infringement of the third paragraph of Article 174 TFEU

— Under this plea, the applicants allege that, contrary to the Commission's contention, the list in the third paragraph of Article 174 TFEU of disadvantages by virtue of which particular attention is to be paid to a region is not exhaustive but given by way of example.