Re:

Action brought against the decision of the First Board of Appeal of OHIM of 26 June 2012 (Case R 124/2011-1), relating to opposition proceedings between Commercialunione Prima Srl and Luigi Lavazza SpA.

Operative part of the order

- 1. There is no need to adjudicate on the action.
- 2. The applicant and intervener shall bear their own costs and each shall pay one half of the costs borne by the defendant.
- (1) OJ C 331, 27.10.2012.

Order of the General Court of 3 March 2015 — Gemeente Nijmegen v Commission

(Case T-251/13) (1)

(Actions for annulment — State aid — Aid granted by a Netherlands municipality in favour of a professional football club — Decision to open the formal examination procedure provided for in Article 108(2) TFEU — Aid measure completely implemented on the date of the decision — Admissibility — Challengeable act)

(2015/C 138/64)

Language of the case: Dutch

Parties

Applicant: Gemeente Nijmegen (Netherlands) (represented by: H. Janssen and S. van der Heul, lawyers)

Defendant: European Commission (represented by: S. Noë and B. Stromsky, acting as Agents)

Re:

Action for partial annulment of Commission Decision C(2013) 1152 final of 6 March 2013 concerning the aid granted to Netherlands professional football clubs Vitesse, NEC, Willem II, MVV, PSV and FC Den Bosch between 2008 and 2011 (State aid SA.33584 (2013/C) (ex 2011/NN)).

Operative part of the order

- 1) The action is dismissed as inadmissible.
- 2) Gemeente Nijmegen is ordered to pay the costs.
- (1) OJ C 189, 29.6.2013.

Order of the General Court of 26 February 2015 — Métropole Gestion v OHIM — Metropol (METROPOL)

(Case T-431/13) (1)

(Community trade mark — Word mark METROPOL — Application for a declaration of invalidity — Failure to apply for renewal of the registration of the mark — Cancellation of the mark upon expiry of the registration — No need to adjudicate)

(2015/C 138/65)

Language of the case: French

Parties

Applicant: Métropole Gestion (Paris, France) (represented by: M.-A. Roux Steinkühler, lawyer)

Defendant: Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (represented by: A. Folliard-Monguiral, Agent)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal of OHIM: Metropol Investment Financial Company Ltd (Moscow, Russia)

Re:

Action brought against the decision of the Second Board of Appeal of OHIM of 4 June 2013 (Cases affaires R 723/2012-2 and R 845/2012-2), relating to invalidity proceedings between Métropole Gestion and Metropol Investment Financial Company Ltd.

Operative part of the order

- 1. There is no need to adjudicate on the action.
- 2. Métropole Gestion and the Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (OHIM) shall each bear their own costs.
- (1) OJ C 344, 23.11.2013.

Order of the General Court of 24 February 2015 — G-Star Raw v OHIM

(Case T-473/13) (1)

(Community trade mark — Opposition — Withdrawal of the application to register the disputed mark — No need to adjudicate)

(2015/C 138/66)

Language of the case: English

Parties

Applicant: G-Star Raw CV (Amsterdam, the Netherlands) (represented by: J. van Manen, M. van de Braak and L. Fresco, lawyers)

Defendant: Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (represented by: A. Folliard-Monguiral, Agent)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal of OHIM intervening before the General Court: PepsiCo, Inc. (New York, United States) (represented by: V. von Bomhard and T. Heitmann, lawyers)

Re:

Action brought against the decision of the Second Board of Appeal of OHIM of 25 June 2013 (Case R 1586/2012-2), relating to opposition proceedings between G-Star Raw CV and PepsiCo, Inc.

Operative part of the order

- 1. There is no further need to adjudicate on the action.
- 2. The applicant and the intervener are to bear their own costs and are each ordered to pay half of the costs incurred by the defendant.
- (1) OJ C 344, 23.11.2013.