

GENERAL COURT

Order of the President of the General Court of 29 August 2013 — Iran Liquefied Natural Gas Co. v Council

(Case T-5/13 R)

(Application for interim measures — Common foreign and security policy — Restrictive measures against Iran — Freezing of funds and economic resources — Prohibition of the execution of ongoing trade contracts — Application for suspension of the operation of a measure — Manifest inadmissibility of the plea of illegality on which the application is based — Inadmissibility of the application)

(2013/C 298/08)

Language of the case: English

Parties

Applicant: Iran Liquefied Natural Gas Co. (Tehran, Iran) (represented by: J. Grayston, Solicitor, G. Pandey, P. Gjørtler and D. Rovetta, lawyers)

Defendant: Council of the European Union (represented by: M. Bishop and A. De Elera, Agents)

Re:

Application for suspension of the operation, first, of Council Decision 2012/635/CFSP of 15 October 2012 amending Decision 2010/413/CFSP concerning restrictive measures against Iran (OJ 2012 L 282, p. 58), and Council Implementing Regulation (EU) No 945/2012 of 15 October 2012 implementing Regulation (EU) No 267/2012 concerning restrictive measures against Iran (OJ 2012 L 282, p. 16), in so far as those acts include the applicant in the list of persons and entities made subject to the restrictive measures, and, secondly, of Article 1(5) of Council Regulation (EU) No 1263/2012 of 21 December 2012 amending Regulation (EU) No 267/2012 (OJ 2012 L 356, p. 34), in so far as that act makes it impossible to perform the contracts concluded by the applicant with partners established in the European Union.

Operative part of the order

1. The application for interim measures is rejected.
2. The costs are reserved.

Order of the General Court of 12 July 2013 — Exakt Advanced Technologies v OHIM — Exakt Precision Tools (EXAKT)

(Case T-37/13) ⁽¹⁾

(Community trade mark — Application for a declaration of invalidity — Withdrawal of the application — No need to adjudicate)

(2013/C 298/09)

Language of the case: German

Parties

Applicant: Exakt Advanced Technologies GmbH (Norderstedt, Germany) (represented by: A. von Bismarck, lawyer)

Defendant: Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (represented by: G. Schneider, acting as Agent)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal of OHIM: Exakt Precision Tools Ltd (Aberdeen, United Kingdom)

Re:

Action brought against the decision of the First Board of Appeal of OHIM of 29 October 2012 (Case R 1764/2011-1) relating to invalidity proceedings between Exakt Advanced Technologies GmbH and Exakt Precision Tools Ltd.

Operative part of the order

1. There is no longer any need to adjudicate on the action.
2. The applicant is ordered to pay its own costs and to pay those incurred by the defendant.

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 86, 23.3.2013.

Order of the General Court of 8 July 2013 — ClientEarth and Stichting BirdLife Europe v European Commission

(Case T-56/13) ⁽¹⁾

(Access to documents of the institutions — Document held by the Commission concerning European Union energy policy — Implied refusal of access — Express decision adopted after the action was brought — No need to adjudicate)

(2013/C 298/10)

Language of the case: English

Parties

Applicants: ClientEarth (London, United Kingdom); and Stichting BirdLife Europe (Zeist, Netherlands) (represented by: O. Brouwer, lawyer)