

Re:

Application, first, for annulment of the decision allegedly contained in the Commission's letter of 7 December 2011 (Case COMP/39.579 — Consumer detergents — and Case 09/0007 F) whereby the Commission refused to act on the applicants' request seeking the transmission to the French competition authority, in the context of Case 09/0007 F concerning the French detergents sector, of several documents produced in Case COMP/39.579, and, secondly, for an order by the General Court requiring the Commission to authorise the applicants to rely on the documents at issue in the proceedings before the French competition authority or before the competent French court in the context of an appeal against the decision of the French competition authority, and for any other measure that the Court deems appropriate.

Operative part of the order

1. *The action is dismissed.*
2. *There is no need to adjudicate on the application for leave to intervene submitted by Unilever PLC and Unilever NV.*
3. *Henkel AG & Co. KGaA and Henkel France shall bear their own costs and pay the costs incurred by the European Commission.*

(¹) OJ C 98, 31.3.2012.

Order of the President of the General Court of 11 March 2013 — Elan v Commission

(Case T-27/13 R)

(Interim measures — State aid — Decision declaring the aid incompatible with the internal market and ordering its recovery from the beneficiary — Application for stay of execution — Lack of urgency)

(2013/C 129/42)

Language of the case: Slovene

Parties

Applicant: Elan, proizvodnja športnih izdelkov, d.o.o. (Begunje na Gorenjskem, Slovenia) (represented by: P. Pensa, lawyer)

Defendant: European Commission (represented by: É. Gippini Fournier, T. Maxian Rusche and M. Kocjan, acting as Agents)

Re:

Application for a stay of execution of Commission Decision C(2012) 6345 final of 19 September 2012 on the measures taken in favour of the group Elan d.o.o. (SA.26379 (C 13/2010) (ex NN 17/2010))

Operative part of the order

1. *The application for interim measures is rejected.*
2. *The costs are reserved.*

Order of the President of the General Court of 11 March 2013 — Calestep v ECHA

(Case T-89/13 R)

(Application for interim measures — Fees and charges payable to ECHA — Reduced fees granted to small enterprises — Verification by ECHA of the declaration relating to the size of the enterprise — Decision ordering the recovery of the uncollected amount of the total fees payable — Application for suspension of operation of the decision — Disregard of the procedural requirements — Inadmissibility)

(2013/C 129/43)

Language of the case: Spanish

Parties

Applicant: Calestep (Estepa, Spain) (represented by: E. Cabezas Mateos, lawyer)

Defendant: European Chemicals Agency (ECHA) (represented by: M. Heikkilä, A. Iber and C. Jacquet, acting as Agents)

Re:

Application for suspension of operation of the payment reminders of 23 January and 8 February 2013 addressed by ECHA to the applicant on the ground that it did not meet the conditions to benefit from the reduction of fees provided for small enterprises.

Operative part of the order

1. *The application for interim measures is dismissed.*
2. *The costs are reserved.*

Appeal brought on 19 February 2013 by European Commission against the judgment of the Civil Service Tribunal of 11 December 2012 in Case F-122/10, Cocchi and Falcione v Commission

(Case T-103/13 P)

(2013/C 129/44)

Language of the case: French

Parties

Appellant: European Commission (represented by G. Gattinara and D. Martin, acting as Agents)