Order of the General Court of 6 November 2014 — ANKO v Commission

(Case T-17/13) (1)

(Arbitration clause — Seventh Framework Programme for research, technological development and demonstration activities (2007-2013) — Contract concerning the Pocemon project — Repayment of the sums advanced — Letter giving notice of the issue of a debit note — Reminder letter — Lack of interest in bringing proceedings — Inadmissibility)

(2015/C 016/58)

Language of the case: Greek

Parties

Applicant: ANKO AE Antiprosopeion, Emporiou kai Viomichanias (Athens, Greece) (represented by: V. Christianos, lawyer)

Defendant: European Commission (represented by: R. Lyal and A. Cordewener, acting as Agents, assisted by S. Drakakakis, lawyer)

Re:

Action brought under Article 272 TFEU, seeking a declaration by the General Court first, that the applicant is not obliged to repay the whole sum paid to it by the Commission in relation to the Pocemon project, concluded under the Seventh Framework Programme for research, technological development and demonstration activities (2007-2013), secondly, that the applicant is not required to pay flat-rate compensation in relation to that project and, thirdly, that the Commission is not entitled to set off the amounts that it owes to the applicant.

Operative part of the order

- 1. The action is dismissed as inadmissible.
- 2. ANKO AE Antiprosopeion, Emporiou kai Viomichanias is ordered to pay the costs.

(1) OJ C 79, 16.3.2013.

Order of the General Court of 6 November 2014 — ANKO v Commission

(Case T-64/13) $(^1)$

(Arbitration clause — Sixth Framework Programme for research, technological development and demonstration activities (2002-2006) — Contract concerning the Doc@Hand project — Repayment of the sums advanced — Letter giving notice of the issue of a debit note — Lack of interest in bringing proceedings — Inadmissibility)

(2015/C 016/59)

Language of the case: Greek

Parties

Applicant: ANKO AE Antiprosopeion, Emporiou kai Viomichanias (Athens, Greece) (represented by: V. Christianos, lawyer)

Defendant: European Commission (represented by: R. Lyal and A. Cordewener, acting as Agents, assisted by S. Drakakakis, lawyer)

Re:

Action brought under Article 272 TFEU, seeking a declaration by the General Court first, that the applicant is not obliged to repay the whole sum paid to it by the Commission in relation to the Doc@Hand project, concluded under the Sixth Framework Programme for research, technological development and demonstration activities (2002-2006), secondly, that the applicant is not required to pay flat-rate compensation in relation to that project and, thirdly, that the Commission is not entitled to set off the sums it owes the applicant.