EN

Order of the General Court of 20 January 2015 - NICO v Council

(Case T-6/13) $(^{1})$

(Action for annulment — Common foreign and security policy — Restrictive measures taken against Iran — Freezing of funds — Time-limit in which to bring proceedings — Starting point — Manifest inadmissibility)

(2015/C 089/29)

Language of the case: English

Parties

Applicant: Naftiran Intertrade Co. (NICO) Sàrl (Pully, Switzerland) (represented by: J. Grayston, Solicitor, G. Pandey, P. Gjørtler, D. Rovetta, D. Sellers and N. Pilkington, lawyers)

Defendant: Council of the European Union (represented by: M. Bishop and I. Rodios, acting as Agents)

Re:

Application for annulment in part of Council Decision 2012/635/CFSP of 15 October 2012 amending Decision 2010/413/ CFSP concerning restrictive measures against Iran (OJ 2012 L 282, p. 58), and Council Implementing Regulation (EU) No 945/2012 of 15 October 2012 implementing Regulation (EU) No 267/2012 concerning restrictive measures against Iran (OJ 2012 L 282, p. 16), in so far as the applicant's name is included in the list of persons and entities to whom those restrictive measures apply.

Operative part of the order

- 1. The action is dismissed.
- 2. Naftiran Intertrade Co. (NICO) Sarl shall bear its own costs and pay those incurred by the Council of the European Union.

(¹) OJ C 71, 9.3.2013.

Order of the General Court of 21 January 2015 — Richter + Frenzel v OHIM — Ferdinand Richter (Richter + Frenzel)

(Case T-418/13) (¹)

(Community trade mark — Opposition — Withdrawal — No need to adjudicate)

(2015/C 089/30)

Language of the case: German

Parties

Applicant: Richter + Frenzel GmbH (Würzburg, Germany) (represented by: D. Altenburg, lawyer)

Defendant: Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (represented initially by: A. Pohlmann, and subsequently by: D. Botis, acting as Agents)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal of OHIM intervening before the General Court: Ferdinand Richter GmbH (Pasching, Austria) (represented by: M. Grötschl, lawyer)

Re:

Action brought against the decision of the Fourth Board of Appeal of OHIM of 12 March 2013 (Case R 2001/2011-4) concerning opposition proceedings between Ferdinand Richter GmbH and Richter + Frenzel GmbH + Co. KG.