## Parties to the main proceedings

Applicant: Gülay Bollacke

Defendant: K + K Klaas & Kock B.V. & Co. KG

# Questions referred

- 1. Is Article 7(1) of Directive 2003/88/EC (<sup>1</sup>) to be interpreted as precluding national legislation or practice according to which the entitlement to a minimum period of paid annual leave is lost in its entirety on the death of the worker, namely not only the entitlement to release from the obligation to work, which can no longer be implemented, but also the entitlement to payment of remuneration in respect of annual leave?
- 2. Is Article 7(2) of Directive 2003/88/EG to be interpreted as meaning that the entitlement to an allowance in lieu of a minimum period of paid annual leave on termination of the employment relationship attaches to the person of the worker in such a way that that entitlement accrues only to him, in order to enable him to realise at a later date the purposes of rest and leisure associated with the granting of paid annual leave?
- 3. Is Article 7(1) of Directive 2003/88/EC to be interpreted as meaning that, having regard to the protection of the safety and health of workers, the employer is obliged, when organising working time, actually to grant the worker leave by the end of the calendar year or, at the latest, by the end of a carry over period applicable to the employment relationship, regardless of whether or not the worker has submitted an application for leave?

Request for a preliminary ruling from the Tribunal da Relação de Lisboa (Portugal) lodged on 18 March 2013 — Cruz & Companhia Lda v IFAP — Instituto de Financiamento da Agricultura e Pescas, IP and Others

(Case C-128/13)

(2013/C 171/21)

Language of the case: Portuguese

## **Referring court**

Tribunal da Relação de Lisboa

#### Parties to the main proceedings

Applicant: Cruz & Companhia Lda

Defendants: IFAP — Instituto de Financiamento da Agricultura e Pescas, IP and Caixa Central — Caixa Central de Crédito Agrícola Mútuo, CRL

# Questions referred

The Court of Justice of the European Union is requested to give a preliminary ruling, in the light of the arguments of the parties, on the interpretation to be given to Article 4(1) of Commission Regulation (EEC) No 3665/87 of 27 November 1987 (<sup>1</sup>) and Article 19(1)(a) of Commission Regulation (EEC) No 2220/85of 22 July 1985, (<sup>2</sup>) in relation to the 'release' of the security provided in the context of Article 22(1) of Commission Regulation (EEC) No 3665/87.

Request for a preliminary ruling from the Hoge Raad der Nederlanden (Netherlands) lodged on 18 March 2013 — Kamino International Logistics BV, other party: Staatssecretaris van Financiën

(Case C-129/13)

(2013/C 171/22)

Language of the case: Dutch

### **Referring court**

Hoge Raad der Nederlanden

### Parties to the main proceedings

Appellant: Kamino International Logistics BV

Respondent: Staatssecretaris van Financiën

#### Questions referred

- 1. Does the European law principle of respect for the rights of the defence by the authorities lend itself to direct application by the national courts?
- 2. If the answer to Question 1 is in the affirmative:
  - (a) must the European law principle of respect for the rights of the defence by the authorities be interpreted to mean that the principle was infringed when the addressee of an intended decision was not given a hearing before the authorities adopted a measure which adversely affected it but was given the opportunity to be heard in a subsequent (objection) phase, which precedes access to the national courts?

<sup>(&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>) Directive 2003/88/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 4 November 2003 concerning certain aspects of the organisation of working time (OJ 2003 L 299, p. 9).

<sup>(&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>) Commission Regulation (EEC) No 3665/87 of 27 November 1987 laying down common detailed rules for the application of the system of export refunds on agricultural products (OJ 1987 L 351, p. 1).

<sup>(2)</sup> Commission Regulation (EEC) No 2220/85 of 22 July 1985 laying down common detailed rules for the application of the system of securities for agricultural products (OJ 1985 L 205, p. 5).