

## Reports of Cases

## Judgment of the Court (Sixth Chamber) of 10 July 2014 —

## **Greece v Commission**

(Case C-391/13 P)<sup>1</sup>

(Appeal — EAGGF, EAGF and EAFRD — Expenditure excluded from funding from the European Union — Olive oil — Arable crops — Manifest error of assessment — Increase in the flat-rate correction due to the recurrence of failure — Impact of CAP reform on the flat-rate correction — Proportionality — Nature of expenditure for the establishment of the olive cultivation GIS)

- 1. Appeals Grounds Review by the Court of the assessment of the facts and evidence Possible only where the clear sense of the evidence has been distorted (Art. 256(1), second para., TFEU; Statute of the Court of Justice, Art. 58, first para.) (see paras 28, 29)
- 2. Appeals Grounds Specific criticism of a point of the General Court's reasoning necessary (Rules of Procedure of the Court of Justice, Art. 169(2)) (see paras 45, 55)
- 3. Appeals Grounds Inadequate statement of reasons Reliance by the General Court on implied reasoning Lawfulness Conditions (see para. 58)

## **Operative part**

The Court:

- 1. Dismisses the appeal;
- 2. Orders the Hellenic Republic to pay the costs.

1 — OJ C 260, 7.9.2013.



ECLI:EU:C:2014:2061