

**Operative part of the order**

*Consideration of the questions raised has disclosed no factor of such a kind as to affect the validity of Directive 2003/4/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 28 January 2003 on public access to environmental information and repealing Council Directive 90/313/EEC.*

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ C 274, 21.9.2013.

---

**Order of the Court (Eighth Chamber) of 5 June 2014 (request for a preliminary ruling from the Augstākās tiesas (formerly Augstākās tiesas Senāts) — Latvia) — Antonio Gramsci Shipping Corp. and Others v Aivars Lembergs**

(Case C-350/13) <sup>(1)</sup>

*(Request for a preliminary ruling — Judicial cooperation in civil matters — Regulation (EC) No 44/2001 — Recognition and enforcement of provisional and protective measures — Annulment of the initial decision — Maintenance of the request for a preliminary ruling — No need to adjudicate)*

(2014/C 261/10)

Language of the case: Latvian

**Referring court**

Augstākās tiesas (formerly Augstākās tiesas Senāts)

**Parties to the main proceedings**

*Applicants:* Antonio Gramsci Shipping Corp., Apollo Holdings Corp., Arctic Seal Shipping Co. Ltd, Atlantic Leader Shipping Co. Ltd, Cape Wind Trading Co. Ltd, Clipstone Navigation SA, Dawnlight Shipping Co. Ltd, Dzons Rids Shipping Co., Faroship Navigation Co. Ltd, Gaida Shipping Co., Gevostar Shipping Co. Ltd, Hose Marti Shipping Co., Imanta Shipping Co. Ltd, Kemeru Navigation Co., Klements Gotvalds Shipping Co., Latgale Shipping Co. Ltd, Limetree Shipping Co. Ltd, Majori Shipping Co. Ltd, Noella Maritime Co. Ltd, Razna Shipping Co., Sagewood Trading Inc., Samburga Shipping Co. Ltd, Saturn Trading Co., Taganroga Shipping Co., Talava Shipping Co. Ltd, Tangent Shipping Co. Ltd, Viktorio Shipping Co., Wilcox Holding Ltd, Zemgale Shipping Co. Ltd, Zoja Shipping Co. Ltd

*Defendant:* Aivars Lembergs

**Operative part of the order**

*There is no need to give a ruling on the request for a preliminary ruling made by the Augstākās tiesas Senāts (Latvia) by decision of 12 June 2013.*

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ C 252, 31.8.2013.

---

**Order of the Court (Sixth Chamber) of 10 April 2014 — Metropolis Inmobiliarias y Restauraciones SL v Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs), MIP Metro Group Intellectual Property GmbH & Co. KG**

(Case C-374/13 P) <sup>(1)</sup>

*(Appeal — Community trade mark — Regulation (EC) No 40/94 — Application for registration of the word mark METROINVEST — Opposition by the proprietor of the national figurative mark and applicant for the Community figurative mark comprising the word element ‘METRO’, in the colours blue and yellow — Refusal of registration)*

(2014/C 261/11)

Language of the case: English

**Parties**

*Appellant:* Metropolis Inmobiliarias y Restauraciones SL (represented by: J. Carbonell Callicó, abogado)

*Other parties to the proceedings:* Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (represented by: J. Crespo Carrillo, acting as Agent), MIP Metro Group Intellectual Property GmbH & Co. (represented by: J.-C. Plate, Rechtsanwalt)

### **Operative part of the order**

- 1) *The appeal is dismissed.*
- 2) *Metropolis Inmobiliarias y Restauraciones SL is ordered to pay the costs.*

---

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ C 252, 31.8.2013.

---

### **Order of the Court (Sixth Chamber) of 8 May 2014 — Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) v Sanco, SA**

**(Case C-411/13 P) <sup>(1)</sup>**

***(Appeal — Community trade mark — Figurative mark representing a chicken — Opposition of the proprietor of a national figurative mark representing a chicken — Partial rejection of the opposition)***

(2014/C 261/12)

*Language of the case: Spanish*

### **Parties**

*Appellant:* Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (OHIM) (represented by: J. Crespo Carrillo and A. Folliard-Monguiral, acting as Agents)

*Other party to the proceedings:* Sanco, SA (represented by: A. Segura Roda, abogado)

### **Operative part of the order**

1. *The appeal is dismissed.*
2. *Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (OHIM) is ordered to pay the costs.*

---

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ C 260, 7.9.2013.

---

### **Order of the Court (Sixth Chamber) of 10 April 2014 — Franz Wilhelm Langguth Erben GmbH & Co. KG v Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs)**

**(Case C-412/13 P) <sup>(1)</sup>**

***(Appeal — Community trade mark — Regulation (EC) No 207/2009 — Articles 34(1), 75 and 77(1) — Earlier national and international figurative marks MEDINET — Claiming seniority — Refusal)***

(2014/C 261/13)

*Language of the case: German*

### **Parties**

*Appellant:* Franz Wilhelm Langguth Erben GmbH & Co. KG (represented by: R. Kunze and G. Würtenberger, Rechtsanwälte)

*Other party to the proceedings:* Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (represented by: G. Schneider, Agent)