

- Fourth, the General Court erred in law in its interpretation of the conditions in Article 3(l)(f) of the Decision by considering it a compatibility condition and not a modality of granting the closure aid.
- Fifth, the General Court erred in law in concluding that the severability of the Contested Provisions would alter the spirit and the substance of the Decision.

⁽¹⁾ OJ L 336, p. 24

Order of the President of the Court of 17 January 2014 (request for a preliminary ruling from the Bundespatentgericht — Germany) — Hogan Lovells International LLP v Bayer CropScience K.K.

(Case C-477/12) ⁽¹⁾

(2014/C 112/32)

Language of the case: German

The President of the Court has ordered that the case be removed from the register.

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 26, 26.1.2013.

Order of the President of the Court of 6 February 2014 (request for a preliminary ruling from the Bundesgerichtshof — Germany) — Elena Recinto-Pfingsten v Swiss International Air Lines AG

(Case C-259/13) ⁽¹⁾

(2014/C 112/33)

Language of the case: German

The President of the Court has ordered that the case be removed from the register.

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 260, 7.9.2013.
