

**Operative part of the order**

European Union law must be interpreted, in the light of the judgment in *Joined Cases C-55/11, C-57/11 and C-58/11 Vodafone España and France Telecom España* [2012] ECR, as precluding the application of a fee for the use and operation of resources installed on or under public or private property, within the meaning of Article 13 of Directive 2002/20/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 7 March 2002 on the authorisation of electronic communications networks and services (the Authorisation Directive), to operators supplying electronic communications services which are not the owners of those resources.

---

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ C 108, 13.4.2013.

---

**Order of the Court (Sixth Chamber) of 30 January 2014 (request for a preliminary ruling from the Tribunale ordinario di Firenze — Italy) — Paola C v Presidenza del Consiglio dei Ministri**

(Case C-122/13) <sup>(1)</sup>

*(Request for a preliminary ruling — Judicial cooperation in criminal matters — Directive 2004/80/EC — Article 12 — Compensation of victims of violent intentional crime — Purely internal situation — Clear lack of jurisdiction of the Court)*

(2014/C 112/22)

Language of the case: Italian

**Referring court**

Tribunale ordinario di Firenze

**Parties to the main proceedings**

Applicant: Paola C

Defendant: Presidenza del Consiglio dei Ministri

**Re:**

Request for a preliminary ruling — Tribunale Ordinario di Firenze — Interpretation of Article 12 of Council Directive 2004/80/EC of 29 April 2004 relating to compensation to crime victims (OJ 2004 L 261, p. 15) — Scope — Legislation not providing a compensation scheme for victims of violent intentional crime committed in national territory ensuring fair and appropriate compensation to all victims of violent crimes.

**Operative part of the order**

The European Court of Justice clearly has no jurisdiction to answer the question put by the Tribunale ordinario di Firenze (Italy).

---

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ C 141, 18.5.2013.

---

**Order of the Court (Sixth Chamber) of 16 January 2014 — nfon AG v Fon Wireless Ltd, Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs)**

(Case C-193/13 P) <sup>(1)</sup>

*(Appeal — Community trade mark — Regulation (EC) No 40/94 — Figurative mark including the word element ‘nfon’ — Opposition by the proprietor of Community figurative mark including the word element ‘fon’ and of the national word mark FON — Rejection of the opposition by the Board of Appeal of OHIM)*

(2014/C 112/23)

Language of the case: German