

Judgment of the Court (Fifth Chamber) of 5 February 2015 (requests for a preliminary ruling from the Bundesgerichtshof — Germany) — Criminal proceedings against Miguel M. (C-627/13), Thi Bich Ngoc Nguyen, Nadine Schönherr (C-2/14)

(Joined Cases C-627/13 and C-2/14) ⁽¹⁾

(Request for a preliminary ruling — Drug precursors — Monitoring of trade between the Member States — Regulation (EC) No 273/2004 — Monitoring of trade between the European Union and third countries — Regulation (EC) No 111/2005 — Trade in medicinal products containing ephedrine or pseudoephedrine — Definition of ‘scheduled substance’ — Composition — Exclusion of all medicinal products or only those containing scheduled substances and the composition of which does allow those substances to be readily extracted — Directive 2001/83/EC — Definition of ‘medicinal product’)

(2015/C 107/13)

Language of the case: German

Referring court

Bundesgerichtshof

Parties in the main proceedings

Miguel M. (C-627/13), Thi Bich Ngoc Nguyen, Nadine Schönherr (C-2/14)

Operative part of the judgment

Articles 2(a) of Regulation (EC) No 273/2004 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 11 February 2004 on drug precursors and of Council Regulation (EC) No 111/2005 of 22 December 2004 laying down rules for the monitoring of trade between the Community and third countries in drug precursors respectively must be interpreted as meaning that a medicinal product as defined in Article 1(2) of Directive 2001/83/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 6 November 2001 on the Community code relating to medicinal products for human use, as amended by Regulation (EC) No 1901/2006 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 12 December 2006, cannot be categorised as a ‘scheduled substance’ as such, even if it contains a substance referred to in Annex I to Regulation No 273/2004 and in the annex to Regulation No 111/2005 and can easily be used or extracted by readily applicable or economically viable means.

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 39, 8.2.2014.
OJ C 71, 8.3.2014.

Judgment of the Court (Third Chamber) of 4 February 2015 (request for a preliminary ruling from the cour du travail de Bruxelles — Belgium) — Office national de l’emploi v Marie-Rose Melchior

(Case C-647/13) ⁽¹⁾

(Reference for a preliminary ruling — Social security — Conditions governing eligibility for unemployment benefit in a Member State — Taking into account periods of work completed as a member of the contract staff of an institution of the European Union which is established in that Member State — Treatment of days of unemployment for which an allowance is paid under the Conditions of Employment of Other Servants of the European Communities as working days — Principle of sincere cooperation)

(2015/C 107/14)

Language of the case: French

Referring court

Cour du travail de Bruxelles