Pleas in law and main arguments

Applicant for a Community trade mark: The other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal

Community trade mark concerned: The figurative mark in black and white 'B', for goods in classes 9 and 25 — Community trade mark application No 8483562

Proprietor of the mark or sign cited in the opposition proceedings: The applicant

Mark or sign cited in opposition: International trade mark registration No 401319 of the figurative mark representing a device of extended wings with a geometric design in the middle, for goods in classes 7, 9 and 14

Decision of the Opposition Division: Rejected the opposition in its entirety

Decision of the Board of Appeal: Dismissed the appeal

Pleas in law: Infringement of Articles 8(1)(b) and 8(5) of Council Regulation No 207/2009.

Action brought on 19 November 2012 — Automobile Association v OHIM — Duncan Petersen Publishing (Folders)

(Case T-508/12)

(2013/C 26/126)

Language in which the application was lodged: English

Parties

Applicant: The Automobile Association Ltd (St. Helier, United Kingdom) (represented by: N. Walker, Solicitor)

Defendant: Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal: Duncan Petersen Publishing Ltd (London, United Kingdom)

Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- Annul the decision of the Third Board of Appeal of the Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) of 12 September 2012 in case R 172/2011-3, and remit the matter back to OHIM for reconsideration; and.
- Order OHIM to pay the costs of the applicant.

Pleas in law and main arguments

Registered Community design in respect of which a declaration of invalidity has been sought: A design for the product 'folders' — registered Community design No 1121404-0001

Proprietor of the Community design: The other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal

Applicant for the declaration of invalidity of the Community design: The applicant

Grounds for the application for a declaration of invalidity: The applicant requested the invalidity of the RCD based on Articles 4 to 9 of Council Regulation No 6/2002

Decision of the Invalidity Division: Rejected the application for a declaration of invalidity

Decision of the Board of Appeal: Dismissed the appeal

Pleas in law:

- Infringement of Article 8 of Council Regulation No 6/2002;
- Infringement of Article 8(2) in conjunction with Article 62 of Council Regulation No 6/2002; and
- Infringement of Article 25(1)(a) in conjunction with Article 3(a) of Council Regulation No 6/2002.

Action brought on 16 November 2012 — Advance Magazine Publishers v OHIM — Nanso Group (TEEN VOGUE)

(Case T-509/12)

(2013/C 26/127)

Language in which the application was lodged: English

Parties

Applicant: Advance Magazine Publishers, Inc. (New York, United States) (represented by: C. Aikens, Barrister)

Defendant: Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal: Nanso Group Oy (Nokia, Finland)

Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- Annul the decision of the Fourth Board of Appeal of the Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) of 17 September 2012 in case R 147/2011-4 and reject the opposition; and
- Order the opponent to pay the costs incurred by the applicant.

Pleas in law and main arguments

Applicant for a Community trade mark: The applicant

Community trade mark concerned: The word mark 'TEEN VOGUE', for among others goods in class 25 — Community trade mark application No 3529476

Proprietor of the mark or sign cited in the opposition proceedings: The other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal

Mark or sign cited in opposition: Swedish trade mark registration No 126124 of the word mark 'VOGUE', for goods in class 25; Swedish trade mark registration No 43934 for the figurative sign 'Vogue', for goods in class 25; Finish trade mark application No T 199 803 628 for the word mark 'VOGUE', for goods in class 25; Registered auxiliary trade name 'VO Gue'

Decision of the Opposition Division: Upheld the opposition for all the contested goods

Decision of the Board of Appeal: Dismissed the appeal

Pleas in law: Infringement of Article 8(1)(b) Council Regulation No 207/2009.

Action brought on 21 November 2012 — Conrad Electronic v OHIM — Sky IP International (EuroSky)

(Case T-510/12)

(2013/C 26/128)

Language in which the application was lodged: German

Parties

Applicant: Conrad Electronic SE (Hirschau, Germany) (represented by: P. Mes, C. Graf von der Groeben, G. Rother, J. Bühling, J. Künzel, D. Jestaedt, M. Bergermann, J. Vogtmeier and A. Kramer)

Defendant: Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal: Sky IP International Ltd (Isleworth, United Kingdom)

Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Court should:

 Annul the decision of the Fourth Board of Appeal of the Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) of 20 September 2012 in Case R 1183/2011-4; — Order the defendant to pay the costs including the costs incurred in the appeal proceedings

Pleas in law and main arguments

Applicant for a Community trade mark: the applicant

Community trade mark concerned: the word mark 'EuroSky' for goods in Class 9 — Community trade mark application No 4 539 896

Proprietor of the mark or sign cited in the opposition proceedings: Sky IP International Ltd

Mark or sign cited in opposition: the national and Community word and figurative mark 'SKY' for goods and services in Classes 9, 16, 18, 25, 28, 35, 36, 38, 41, 42, 43 and 45

Decision of the Opposition Division: the opposition was upheld

Decision of the Board of Appeal: the appeal was dismissed

Pleas in law: Infringement of Article 8(1)(b) of Regulation No 207/2009

Action brought on 22 November 2012 — NCL v OHIM (NORWEGIAN GETAWAY)

(Case T-513/12)

(2013/C 26/129)

Language of the case: German

Parties

Applicant: NCL Corporation Ltd (Miami, United States of America) (represented by N. Grüger, lawyer)

Defendant: Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs)

Form of order sought

- Annul the decision of the Fourth Board of Appeal of the Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) in case R 1014/2012-4 of 12 September 2012 and refer the case back to the Board of Appeal;
- in the alternative, annul the decision in respect of services in Class 39: 'Arranging of cruises, Cruise ship services, Cruise arrangement' and refer the case back to the Board of Appeal;
- order the defendant to pay the costs.