

Re:

In Case T-240/12, application for annulment of the Commission's decision contained in a letter of 23 April 2012, informing the applicant of the Commission's intention to reopen the procedure and to adopt a new statement of objections and, in Case T-211/13, application for annulment of Commission decisions C(2013) 1200 final of 26 February 2013 and C(2013) 1199 final of 27 February 2013 to reopen the procedure and to send the applicant a new statement of objections in Case AT. 40032-BR/ESBR — Recidivism, following the partial annulment by the General Court of Commission Decision C(2006) 5700 final of 29 November 2006 relating to a proceeding under Article 81 [EC] and Article 53 of the EEA Agreement (Case COMP/F/38.638 — Butadiene Rubber and Emulsion Styrene Butadiene Rubber).

Operative part of the order

1. Cases T-240/12 and T-211/13 are joined for the purposes of the order.
2. There is no longer any need to adjudicate on the present actions.
3. Eni SpA and the European Commission shall each bear their own costs.

(¹) OJ C 217, 21.7.2012.

Order of the General Court of 7 March 2014 — Versalis v Commission

(Joined Cases T-241/12 and T-210/13) (¹)

(Competition — Agreements, decisions and concerted practices — Butadiene rubber and emulsion styrene butadiene rubber market — Decision finding an infringement of Article 81 EC — Partial annulment and alteration by the General Court of the Commission's decision — Reopening of the procedure — New statement of objections — Closure of the procedure — No need to adjudicate)

(2014/C 135/47)

Language of the case: Italian

Parties

Applicant: Versalis SpA (San Donato Milanese, Italy) (represented by: F. Moretti, L. Nascimbene and M. Siragusa, lawyers)

Defendant: European Commission (represented by: V. Bottka, G. Conte, R. Striani and T. Vecchi, Agents)

Re:

In Case T-241/12, application for annulment of the Commission's decision contained in a letter of 23 April 2012, informing the applicant of the Commission's intention to reopen the procedure and to adopt a new statement of objections and, in Case T-210/13, application for annulment of Commission decisions C(2013) 1200 final of 26 February 2013 and C(2013) 1199 final of 27 February 2013 to reopen the procedure and to send the applicant a new statement of objections in Case AT. 40032-BR/ESBR — Recidivism, following the partial annulment by the General Court of Commission Decision C(2006) 5700 final of 29 November 2006 relating to a proceeding under Article 81 [EC] and Article 53 of the EEA Agreement (Case COMP/F/38.638 — Butadiene Rubber and Emulsion Styrene Butadiene Rubber).

Operative part of the order

1. Cases T-241/12 and T-210/13 are joined for the purposes of the order.

2. There is no longer any need to adjudicate on the present actions.
3. Versalis SpA and the European Commission shall each bear their own costs.

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 227, 28.7.2012.

Order of the General Court of 10 March 2014 — Spirlea v Commission

(Case T-518/12) ⁽¹⁾

(Action for annulment — Public health — Decision to bring an end to an EU pilot procedure — Closure of the file on a complaint — Failure to bring an action for failure to fulfil obligations — Inadmissibility)

(2014/C 135/48)

Language of the case: German

Parties

Applicants: Darius Nicolai Spirlea (Capezzano Pianore, Italy); and Mihaela Spirlea (Capezzano Pianore) (represented by: V. Foerster and T. Pahl, lawyers)

Defendant: European Commission (represented by: A. Sipos and G. Wilms, Agents)

Intervener in support of the defendant: Kingdom of Spain (represented initially by S. Centeno Huerta, and subsequently by J. García-Valdecasas Dorrego, lawyers)

Re:

APPLICATION for annulment of the Commission decision to bring an end to EU pilot procedure No 2070/11/SNCO, as referred to in the letter of 27 September 2012, sent to the applicants under reference SANCO/A2/AM/kva (2012) 1245353.

Operative part of the order

1. The application is dismissed as inadmissible.
2. Darius Nicolai Spirlea and Mihaela Spirlea are ordered to bear their own costs and to pay those incurred by the European Commission.
3. The Kingdom of Spain is ordered to bear its own costs.

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 32, 2.2.2013.

Order of the General Court of 20 February 2014 — Jannatian v Council

(Case T-187/13) ⁽¹⁾

(Action for annulment — Common foreign and security policy — Restrictive measures against Iran — List of persons and entities to which those restrictive measures apply — Period within which an action must be brought — Action brought out of time — Inadmissibility)

(2014/C 135/49)

Language of the case: English

Parties

Applicant: Mahmoud Jannatian (Tehran, Iran) (represented by: E. Rosenfeld and S. Monnerville, lawyers)

Defendant: Council of the European Union (represented by: F. Naert and M. Bishop, acting as Agents)