

3. *Magnesitas de Rubián, SA, Magnesitas Navarras, SA and Ellinikoi Lefkolithoi Anonymos Metalleftiki, Viomichaniki, Naftiliaki kai Emporiki Etaireia shall bear their own costs and pay those incurred by the European Parliament and the Council of the European Union.*
4. *The European Commission shall bear its own costs.*

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 139, 7.5.2011.

**Order of the General Court of 10 March 2014 — Hemofarm v OHIM — Laboratorios Diafarm
(HEMOFARM)**

(Case T-411/11) ⁽¹⁾

**(Community trade mark — Opposition procedure — Withdrawal of the opposition — No need to
adjudicate)**

(2014/C 135/45)

Language of the case: Spanish

Parties

Applicant: Hemofarm AD farmaceutsko-hemijska industrija Vršac (Vršac, Serbia) (represented by: D. Cañadas Arcas, lawyer)

Defendant: Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (represented by: J. Crespo Carrillo, Agent)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal of OHIM intervening before the General Court: Laboratorios Diafarm, SA (Barberà del Vallès, Spain) (represented by: E. Sugrañes Coca, lawyer)

Re:

Action brought against the decision of the Fourth Board of Appeal of OHIM of 17 May 2011 (Case R 298/2010-4), concerning an opposition procedure between Laboratorios Diafarm, SA and Hemofarm AD farmaceutsko-hemijska industrija Vršac.

Operative part of the order

1. *There is no need to adjudicate on the present action.*
2. *All the parties are ordered to bear their own costs.*

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 311, 22.10.2011.

Order of the General Court of 7 March 2014 — Eni v Commission

(Joined Cases T-240/12 and T-211/13) ⁽¹⁾

**(Competition — Agreements, decisions and concerted practices — Butadiene rubber and emulsion
styrene butadiene rubber market — Decision finding an infringement of Article 81 EC — Partial
annulment and alteration by the General Court of the Commission's decision — Reopening of the
procedure — New statement of objections — Closure of the procedure — No need to adjudicate)**

(2014/C 135/46)

Language of the case: Italian

Parties

Applicant: Eni SpA (Rome, Italy) (represented by: G.M. Roberti and I. Perego, lawyers)

Defendant: European Commission (represented by: V. Bottka, G. Conte, R. Striani and T. Vecchi, Agents)

Re:

In Case T-240/12, application for annulment of the Commission's decision contained in a letter of 23 April 2012, informing the applicant of the Commission's intention to reopen the procedure and to adopt a new statement of objections and, in Case T-211/13, application for annulment of Commission decisions C(2013) 1200 final of 26 February 2013 and C (2013) 1199 final of 27 February 2013 to reopen the procedure and to send the applicant a new statement of objections in Case AT. 40032-BR/ESBR — Recidivism, following the partial annulment by the General Court of Commission Decision C (2006) 5700 final of 29 November 2006 relating to a proceeding under Article 81 [EC] and Article 53 of the EEA Agreement (Case COMP/F/38.638 — Butadiene Rubber and Emulsion Styrene Butadiene Rubber).

Operative part of the order

1. Cases T-240/12 and T-211/13 are joined for the purposes of the order.
2. There is no longer any need to adjudicate on the present actions.
3. Eni SpA and the European Commission shall each bear their own costs.

(¹) OJ C 217, 21.7.2012.

Order of the General Court of 7 March 2014 — Versalis v Commission

(Joined Cases T-241/12 and T-210/13) (¹)

(Competition — Agreements, decisions and concerted practices — Butadiene rubber and emulsion styrene butadiene rubber market — Decision finding an infringement of Article 81 EC — Partial annulment and alteration by the General Court of the Commission's decision — Reopening of the procedure — New statement of objections — Closure of the procedure — No need to adjudicate)

(2014/C 135/47)

Language of the case: Italian

Parties

Applicant: Versalis SpA (San Donato Milanese, Italy) (represented by: F. Moretti, L. Nascimbene and M. Siragusa, lawyers)

Defendant: European Commission (represented by: V. Bottka, G. Conte, R. Striani and T. Vecchi, Agents)

Re:

In Case T-241/12, application for annulment of the Commission's decision contained in a letter of 23 April 2012, informing the applicant of the Commission's intention to reopen the procedure and to adopt a new statement of objections and, in Case T-210/13, application for annulment of Commission decisions C(2013) 1200 final of 26 February 2013 and C (2013) 1199 final of 27 February 2013 to reopen the procedure and to send the applicant a new statement of objections in Case AT. 40032-BR/ESBR — Recidivism, following the partial annulment by the General Court of Commission Decision C (2006) 5700 final of 29 November 2006 relating to a proceeding under Article 81 [EC] and Article 53 of the EEA Agreement (Case COMP/F/38.638 — Butadiene Rubber and Emulsion Styrene Butadiene Rubber).

Operative part of the order

1. Cases T-241/12 and T-210/13 are joined for the purposes of the order.