

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal of OHIM intervening before the General Court: Glencairn Scotch Whisky Co. Ltd (Glasgow, United Kingdom)

Re:

Action brought against the decision of the First Board of Appeal of OHIM of 14 July 2011 (Case R-2334/2010-1) concerning opposition proceedings between Glencairn Scotch Whisky Co. Ltd and Chivas Holdings (IP) Ltd.

Operative part of the order

1. There is no longer any need to adjudicate on the action.
2. The applicant is ordered to bear its own costs and to pay the costs incurred by the defendant.

(¹) OJ C 362, 10.12.2011.

Order of the General Court of 13 September 2012 — Bäßler v OHIM (MAX)

(Case T-187/12)

(Application — Formal requirements — Manifest inadmissibility)

(2012/C 399/43)

Language of the case: English

Parties

Applicant: Uwe Bäßler (Gmunden, Austria) (represented by: L. Müller, lawyer)

Defendant: Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs)

Re:

Action brought against the decision of the First Board of Appeal of OHIM of 2 February 2012 (Case R 0909/2011-1), concerning an application for registration of the word mark MAX as a Community trade mark.

Operative part of the order

The Court:

1. Dismisses the action as inadmissible.
2. Orders the applicant to bear his own costs.

Order of the President of the General Court of 24 October 2012 — Saobraćajni institut CIP v Commission

(Case T-219/12 R)

(Application for interim measures — Public service contracts — Call for tenders concerning the preparation of technical documentation for a railway modernisation project — Exclusion of the applicant from participating in that tendering procedure — Annulment of the tendering procedure after the action had been brought — No need to adjudicate)

(2012/C 399/44)

Language of the case: English

Parties

Applicant: Saobraćajni institut CIP d.o.o. (Belgrade, Serbia) (represented by: A. Lojpur, lawyer)

Defendant: European Commission (represented by: F. Erlbacher and E. Georgieva, acting as Agents)

Re:

Application seeking, in essence, interim measures ordering suspension of the tendering procedure in the context of the contract notice published on 27 March 2012 concerning the preparation of technical documentation for a railway modernisation project and excluding the applicant from participating in the tendering procedure.

Operative part of the order

1. There is no further need to adjudicate on the application for interim measures.
2. The European Commission shall bear the costs.

Order of the President of the General Court of 24 October 2012 — Saobraćajni institut CIP v Commission

(Case T-227/12 R)

(Application for interim measures — Public service contracts — Call for tenders concerning the preparation of technical documentation for a rail modernisation project — Exclusion of the applicant from participation in the tender procedure — Annulment of the tender procedure after the action had been brought — No need to adjudicate)

(2012/C 399/45)

Language of the case: English

Parties

Applicant: Saobraćajni institut CIP d.o.o. (Belgrade, Serbia) (represented by: A. Lojpur, lawyer)

Defendant: European Commission (represented by: F. Erlbacher and E. Georgieva, acting as Agents)