### Order of the General Court of 10 December 2013 — Carbunión v Council

(Case T-176/11) (1)

(Action for annulment — State aid — Decision on aid intended to facilitate the closure of uncompetitive coal mines — Partial annulment — Non-severability — Inadmissibility)

(2014/C 39/33)

Language of the case: English

#### **Parties**

Applicant: Federación Nacional de Empresarios de Minas de Carbón (Carbunión) (Madrid (Spain)) (represented by: K. Desai, Solicitor, S. Cisnal de Ugarte and M. Peristeraki, lawyers)

Defendant: Council of the European Union (represented initially by: F. Florindo Gijón and A. Lo Monaco, and subsequently by: F. Florindo Gijón and K. Michoel, acting as Agents)

Intervener in support of the defendant: European Commission (represented by É. Gippini Fournier, L. Flynn and C. Urraca Caviedes, acting as Agents)

## Re:

Application for partial suspension of operation of Council Decision 2010/787/EU of 10 December 2010 on State aid to facilitate the closure of uncompetitive coal mines (OJ 2010 L 336, p. 24)

## Operative part of the order

- 1. The action is dismissed as being inadmissible.
- The Federación Nacional de Empresarios de Minas de Carbón (Carbunión) shall, in addition to bearing its own costs, pay the costs incurred by the Council of the European Union, including those relating to the proceedings for interim measures.
- 3. The European Commission shall bear its own costs.

Order of the General Court of 3 December 2013 — Pri v OHIM — Belgravia Investment Group (PRONOKAL)

(Case T-159/12) (1)

(Removal from the register — Pleading submitted at the time of discontinuance — Inadmissibility)

(2014/C 39/34)

Language of the case: French

#### **Parties**

Applicant: Pri SA (Clémency, Luxembourg) (represented by: C. Marí Aguilar and F. Márquez Martín, lawyers)

Defendant: Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (represented by: V. Melgar, acting as Agent)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal of OHIM intervening before the General Court: Belgravia Investment Group Ltd (Tortola, British Virgin Islands) (represented by: J. Bouyssou, lawyer)

#### Re:

Firstly, action brought against the decision of the Second Board of Appeal of OHIM of 20 December 2011 (Case R 311/2011-2), concerning opposition proceedings between Pri SA and Belgravia Investment Group Ltd, and, secondly, application for rejection of the application for registration of the mark applied for in respect of all the goods covered by the opposition proceedings.

# Operative part of the order

- Case T-159/12 shall be removed from the register of the General Court.
- 2. The pleading lodged by Pri SA, contained in the letter filed at the Registry of the General Court on 13 September 2013, requesting, firstly, that the General Court state that the opposition was withdrawn; secondly, that it rescind the decision of the Opposition Division of 7 December 2010 in so far as it rejects in part the opposition; and, thirdly, that it order that the 'release in full' of the mark PRONOKAL be registered are rejected as inadmissible.
- 3. Pri SA shall bear its own costs and pay those incurred by OHIM.
- 4. Belgravia Investment Group Ltd shall bear its own costs.

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ C 152, 21.5.2011.

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ C 194, 30.6.2012.