## Operative part of the order

- 1. The action is dismissed as inadmissible.
- 2. Shahid Behesti University is to bear its own costs and to pay those incurred by the Council of the European Union.

(1) OJ C 165, 9.6.2012.

Order of the General Court of 20 November 2012 — Geipel v OHIM — Reeh (BEST BODY NUTRITION)

(Case T-138/12) (1)

(Community trade mark — Opposition — Withdrawal of the opposition — No need to adjudicate)

(2013/C 26/98)

Language of the case: German

### **Parties**

Applicant: Yves Geipel (Auerbach, Germany) (represented by: J. Sachs, lawyer)

Defendant: Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (represented by: G. Marten and R. Pethke, Agents)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal of OHIM intervening before the General Court: Jörg Reeh (Buxtehude, Germany)

## Re:

Action brought against the decision of the Board of Appeal of OHIM of 12 January 2012 (Case R 2433/2010-1) relating to opposition proceedings between Jörg Reeh and Yves Geipel.

# Operative part of the order

- 1. There is no longer any need to adjudicate on the action.
- 2. The applicant is ordered to bear his own costs and to pay those incurred by the defendant.

(1) OJ C 157, 2.6.2012.

Order of the President of the General Court of 29 November 2012 — Alstom v Commission

(Case T-164/12 R)

(Interim relief — Competition — Commission decision to transmit documents to a national court — Confidentiality — Right to effective judicial protection — Application for interim measures — Prima facie case — Urgency — Weighing up of interests)

(2013/C 26/99)

Language of the case: English

### **Parties**

Applicant: Alstom (Levallois-Perret, France) (represented by: J. Derenne, lawyer, N. Heaton, P. Chaplin and M. Farley, Solicitors)

Defendant: European Commission (represented by: A. Antoniadis, N. Khan and P. Van Nuffel, Agents)

Intervener in support of the defendant: National Grid Electricity Transmission plc (London, United Kingdom) (represented by: A. Magnus, C. Bryant and E. Coulson, Solicitors, J. Turner QC and D. Beard QC)

### Re:

Application for suspension of operation of the Commission's decision of 26 January 2012 contained in letters No D/2012/006840 and No D/2012/006863 from the Director General of the Commission's Directorate-General for Competition, concerning the transmission of certain documents to the High Court of England and Wales for use in evidence in proceedings brought against the applicant, and an application for confidential treatment to be ordered in the present proceedings in respect of the professional secrets contained in the applicant's reply of 30 June 2006 to the statement of objections in Case COMP/F/38.899 — Gas insulated switchgear.

## Operative part of the order

- 1. Operation of the Commission's decision of 26 January 2012 is suspended in so far as that decision concerns the transmission to the High Court of England and Wales of the confidential version of Alstom's reply of 30 June 2006 to the statement of objections in Case COMP/F/38.899 Gas insulated switchgear.
- The application for interim measures is dismissed as to the remainder.
- 3. The costs are reserved.

Order of the President of the General Court of 16 November 2012 — Evonik Degussa v Commission

(Case T-341/12 R)

(Interim measures — Competition — Publication of a decision by which the Commission finds an infringement of provisions which prohibit cartels — Refusal of the request for confidential treatment of information provided to the Commission pursuant to its Leniency Notice — Weighing up of interests — Urgency — Prima facie case)

(2013/C 26/100)

Language of the case: German

# **Parties**

Applicant: Evonik Degussa GmbH (Essen, Germany) (represented by: C. Steinle, M. Holm-Hadulla and C. von Köckritz, lawyers)