

**Order of the General Court of 27 November 2013 — MAF
v EIOPA**

(Case T-23/12) ⁽¹⁾

**(Action for annulment — Languages — Publication by the
EIOPA of consultative documents on its internet site
exclusively in English — Non-actionable measures —
Inadmissibility)**

(2014/C 45/50)

Language of the case: French

Parties

Applicant: Mutuelle des architectes français assurances (MAF) (Paris, France) (represented by: S. Orlandi, A. Coolen, J.-N. Louis, É. Marchal and D. Abreu Caldas, lawyers)

Defendant: European Insurance and Occupational Pensions Authority (EIOPA) (represented by: J. Stuyck and A.-M. Vandromme, lawyers)

Re:

Application for annulment, first, of an alleged decision of the EIOPA to publish information on its internet site exclusively in English and, specifically, to launch public consultations exclusively in that language and, secondly, of the alleged decision of the Executive Director of the EIOPA, of 16 January 2012, rejecting the MAF's request for the withdrawal of the first alleged decision and the publication of the above-mentioned consultations and all information on the EIOPA's internet site in all the official languages of the European Union.

Operative part of the order

1. *The action is dismissed as inadmissible.*
2. *The Mutuelle des architectes français assurances (MAF) is ordered to pay the costs.*

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 98, 31.3.2012.

**Order of the General Court of 26 November 2013 — Pips
v OHIM — s.Oliver Bernd Freier (ISABELLA OLIVER)**

(Case T-38/12) ⁽¹⁾

**(Community trade mark — Opposition — Withdrawal of the
opposition — No need to adjudicate)**

(2014/C 45/51)

Language of the case: English

Parties

Applicant: Pips BV (Amsterdam, Netherlands) (represented by: J. van den Berg, lawyer)

Defendant: Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (represented by: P. Geroulakos, acting as Agent)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal of OHIM, intervener before the General Court: s.Oliver Bernd Freier GmbH & Co. KG (Rottendorf, Germany) (represented by: S. Körber and D. Kämper, lawyers)

Re:

Action brought against the decision of the First Board of Appeal of OHIM of 20 October 2011 (Case R 2420/2010-1), concerning opposition proceedings between s.Oliver Bernd Freier GmbH & Co. KG and Pips BV.

Operative part of the order

1. *There is no need to adjudicate on the action.*
2. *The applicant shall bear its own costs and those incurred by the defendant. The intervener shall bear its own costs.*

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 89, 24.3.2012.

**Order of the General Court of 27 November 2013 —
Wirtgen v OHIM (Shape of a chisel holder)**

(Case T-179/12) ⁽¹⁾

**(Community trade mark — Refusal of registration —
Withdrawal of the application for registration — No need
to adjudicate)**

(2014/C 45/52)

Language of the case: German

Parties

Applicant: Wirtgen GmbH (Windhagen, Germany) (represented by: S. Jackermeier, lawyer)

Defendant: Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (represented by: A. Poch, Agent)

Re:

Action brought against the decision of the Fourth Board of Appeal of OHIM of 15 February 2012 (Case R 1923/2011-4), concerning an application for registration of a three-dimensional mark in the form of a chisel holder as a Community trade mark.