

GENERAL COURT

Judgment of the General Court of 3 December 2015 — Cuallado Martorell v Commission

(Case T-506/12 P) ⁽¹⁾

(Appeal — Civil Service — Officials — Recruitment — Open competition to draw up a reserve list of lawyer-linguists with Spanish as their main language — Decision of the jury confirming failure to pass the written tests and non-admittance to the oral test — Article 90(2) of the Statute — Admissibility of the action at first instance — Duty to state reasons — Refusal to send the marked written tests to the appellant — Access to documents)

(2016/C 027/33)

Language of the case: Spanish

Parties

Appellant: Eva Cuallado Martorell (Valencia, Spain) (represented by: C. Pinto Cañón, lawyer)

Other party to the proceedings: European Commission (represented by: J. Baquero Cruz and G. Gattinara, acting as agents)

Re:

Appeal brought against the judgment of the Civil Service Appeal Tribunal of the European Union (Second Chamber) of 18 September 2012 in *Cuallado Martorell v Commission* (F-96/09, ECRFP, EU:F:2012:129) and seeking to have that judgment set aside.

Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

1. Sets aside the judgment of the Civil Service Appeal Tribunal of the European Union (Second Chamber) of 18 September 2012 in *Cuallado Martorell v Commission* (F-96/09, ECRFP, EU:F:2012:129) inasmuch as it declares the action to be inadmissible in seeking the annulment of the decision refusing admission to the oral test and, consequently, the reserve list;
2. Dismisses the appeal as to the remainder;
3. Refers the case back to the Civil Service Tribunal;
4. Reserves the costs.

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 135, 5.5.2014.

Judgment of the General Court of 26 November 2015 — HK Intertrade v Council

(Joined Cases T-159/13 and T-372/14) ⁽¹⁾

(Common foreign and security policy — Restrictive measures against Iran with a view to preventing nuclear proliferation — Freezing of funds — Actions for annulment — Period allowed for commencing proceedings — Point from which time starts to run — Admissibility — Right to be heard — Obligation to notify — Obligation to state reasons — Rights of defence — Manifest error of assessment)

(2016/C 027/34)

Language of the case: English

Parties

Applicant: HK Intertrade Co. Ltd (Wanchai, Hong Kong, China) (represented by: J. Grayston, Solicitor, P. Gjørtler, G. Pandey, D. Rovetta, N. Pilkington and D. Sellers, lawyers)