

Judgment of the General Court of 17 March 2015 — RFA International v Commission**(Case T-466/12) ⁽¹⁾*****(Dumping — Imports of ferro-silicon originating in Russia — Refusal of applications for a refund of anti-dumping duties paid — Calculation of the export price — Single economic entity — Calculation of the dumping margin — Application of a methodology different from that used in the original investigation — Change in circumstances — Article 2(9) and Article 11(9) of Regulation (EC) No 1225/2009)***

(2015/C 146/48)

*Language of the case: English***Parties***Applicant:* RFA International, LP (Calgary, Canada) (represented by: B. Evtimov, lawyer)*Defendant:* European Commission (represented by: P. Němečková and A. Stobiecka-Kuik, acting as Agents)**Re:**

Action for partial annulment of Commission Decisions C(2012) 5577 final, C(2012) 5585 final, C(2012) 5588 final, C(2012) 5595 final, C(2012) 5596 final, C(2012) 5598 final and C(2012) 5611 final of 10 August 2012 concerning applications for a refund of anti-dumping duties paid on imports of ferro-silicon originating in Russia, in so far as those decisions refuse reimbursement of the amounts of anti-dumping duties applied for, save for those amounts the applications for which have been found inadmissible because submitted after the statutory time-limits had expired.

Operative part of the judgment*The Court:*

1. Dismisses the action;
2. Orders RFA International, LP to pay the costs.

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 389, 15.12.2012.

Judgment of the General Court of 18 March 2015 — Naazneen Investments v OHIM — Energy Brands (SMART WATER)**(Case T-250/13) ⁽¹⁾*****(Community trade mark — Revocation proceedings — Community word mark SMART WATER — Genuine use — Article 51(1)(a) of Regulation (EC) No 207/2009 — Obligation to state reasons — Article 75 of Regulation No 207/2009)***

(2015/C 146/49)

*Language of the case: English***Parties***Applicant:* Naazneen Investments Ltd (Limassol, Cyprus) (represented by: P. Goldenbaum, I. Rohr and T. Melchert, lawyers)*Defendant:* Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (represented by: A. Pohlmann, acting as Agent)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal of OHIM, intervener before the General Court: Energy Brands, Inc. (New York, United States) (represented by: S. Malynicz, Barrister, and D. Stone, Solicitor)