

**Judgment of the General Court of 4 February 2014 —
Syrian Lebanese Commercial Bank v Council**

(Joined Cases T-174/12 and T-80/13) ⁽¹⁾

(Common foreign and security policy — Restrictive measures taken against Syria — Freezing of funds — Adaptation of the claim — Time-limit — Manifest error of assessment — Obligation to state reasons — Right to effective judicial protection — Rights of defence)

(2014/C 78/17)

Language of the case: French

Parties

Applicant: Syrian Lebanese Commercial Bank SAL (Beirut, Lebanon) (represented by: P. Vanderveeren, L. Defalque and T. Bontinck, lawyers)

Defendant: Council of the European Union (represented by: G. Étienne and S. Cook, acting as Agents)

Re:

Application for annulment in part, firstly, of Council Implementing Regulation (EU) No 55/2012 of 23 January 2012 implementing Article [32](1) of Regulation (EU) No 36/2012 concerning restrictive measures in view of the situation in Syria (OJ 2012 L 19, p. 6); secondly, of Council Implementing Decision 2012/37/CFSP of 23 January 2012 implementing Decision 2011/782/CFSP concerning restrictive measures against Syria (OJ 2012 L 19, p. 33); thirdly, Council Decision 2012/739/CFSP of 29 November 2012 concerning restrictive measures against Syria and repealing Decision 2011/782/CFSP (OJ 2012 L 330, p. 21); fourthly, Council Implementing Regulation (EU) No 1117/2012 of 29 November 2012 implementing Article 32(1) of Regulation (EU) No 36/2012 (OJ 2012 L 330, p. 9); fifthly, the ‘decision letters’ of the Council of 24 January 2012 and 30 November 2012 notifying the applicant of the restrictive measures affecting it; sixthly, Council Decision 2013/109/CFSP of 28 February 2013 amending Decision 2012/739/CFSP (OJ 2013 L 58, p. 8); seventhly, Council Implementing Regulation (EU) No 363/2013 of 22 April 2013 implementing Regulation (EU) No 36/2012 (OJ 2013 L 111, p. 1); eighthly, Council Decision 2013/255/CFSP of 31 May 2013 concerning restrictive measures against Syria (OJ 2013 L 147, p. 14), in so far as those acts affect the applicant’s situation.

Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

1. Dismisses the actions;

2. Orders the Syrian Lebanese Commercial Bank SAL to pay the costs.

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 184, 23.6.2012.

**Judgment of the General Court of 4 February 2014 —
Gandia Blasco v OHIM — Sachi Premium-Outdoor
Furniture (Cuboid Armchair)**

(Case T-339/12) ⁽¹⁾

(Community design — Invalidity proceedings — Registered Community design representing a cuboid armchair — Earlier design — Ground for invalidity — Individual character — Different overall impression — Article 6 and Article 25(1)(b) of Regulation (EC) No 6/2002)

(2014/C 78/18)

Language of the case: English

Parties

Applicant: Gandia Blasco, SA (Valencia, Spain) (represented by: I. Sempere Massa, lawyer)

Defendant: Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (represented by: A. Folliard-Monguiral, acting as Agent)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal of OHIM, intervener before the General Court: Sachi Premium-Outdoor Furniture, L^{da} (Estarreja, Portugal) (represented by: M. Oehen Mendes and M. Paes, lawyers)

Re:

Action brought against the decision of the Third Board of Appeal of OHIM of 25 May 2012 (Case R 970/2011-3) in relation to invalidity proceedings between Gandia Blasco, SA and Sachi Premium-Outdoor Furniture, L^{da}.

Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

1. Dismisses the action;

2. Orders Gandia Blasco, SA to pay the costs.

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 295, 29.9.2012.