

**Re:**

Action brought against the decision of the First Board of Appeal of OHIM of 15 February 2012 (Case R 476/2011-1), relating to opposition proceedings between Nanu-Nana Joachim Hoepp GmbH & Co. KG and Nuna International BV.

**Operative part of the judgment**

*The Court:*

1. *Annuls the decision of the First Board of Appeal of the Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (OHIM) of 15 February 2012 (Case R 476/2011-1), relating to opposition proceedings between Nanu-Nana Joachim Hoepp GmbH & Co. KG and Nuna International BV, as regards the 'strollers; buggies; safety car seats for children' in Class 12 of the Nice Agreement concerning the International Classification of Goods and Services for the Purposes of the Registration of Marks of 15 June 1957, as revised and amended, and the 'baby walkers' and 'sleeping bags for baby and children' in Class 20;*
2. *Dismisses the action as to the remainder;*
3. *Orders each party to bear its own costs.*

---

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ C 209, 14.7.2012.

---

**Judgment of the General Court of 18 September 2014 — Central Bank of Iran v Council**

(Case T-262/12) <sup>(1)</sup>

***(Common foreign and security policy — Restrictive measures adopted against Iran with the aim of preventing nuclear proliferation — Freezing of funds — Action for annulment — Lis pendens — Obligation to state reasons — Rights of the defence)***

(2014/C 388/12)

*Language of the case: English*

**Parties**

*Applicant:* Central Bank of Iran (Tehran, Iran) (represented by: M. Lester, Barrister)

*Defendant:* Council of the European Union (represented by: M. Bishop and V. Piessevaux, acting as Agents)

**Re:**

Application for, in essence, annulment of (i) Council Decision 2012/35/CFSP of 23 January 2012 amending Decision 2010/413/CFSP concerning restrictive measures against Iran (OJ 2012 L 19, p. 22) and Council Decision 2012/635/CFSP of 15 October 2012 amending Decision 2010/413/CFSP concerning restrictive measures against Iran (OJ 2012 L 282, p. 58), in so far as they listed or maintained the listing, after review, of the applicant in Annex II to Council Decision 2010/413/CFSP of 26 July 2010 concerning restrictive measures against Iran and repealing Common Position 2007/140/CFSP (OJ 2010 L 195, p. 39) and (ii) Council Regulation (EU) No 267/2012 of 23 March 2012 concerning the adoption of restrictive measures against Iran and repealing Regulation (EU) No 961/2010 (OJ 2012 L 88, p. 1), and Council Implementing Regulation (EU) No 945/2012 of 15 October 2012 implementing Regulation No 267/2012 (OJ 2012 L 282, p. 16), in so far as they listed or maintained the listing, after review, of the applicant in Annex IX to Regulation No 267/2012.

**Operative part of the judgment**

*The Court:*

1. *Annuls Council Regulation (EU) No 267/2012 of 23 March 2012 concerning restrictive measures against Iran and repealing Regulation (EU) No 961/2010 (OJ 2012 L 88, p. 1), in so far as it listed Central Bank of Iran in Annex IX thereto;*

2. Dismisses the action as to the remainder;
3. Orders the Council of the European Union to bear one half of its own costs and to pay one half of the costs of Central Bank of Iran;
4. Orders Central Bank of Iran to bear one half of its own costs and to pay one half of the costs of the Council.

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ C 243, 11.8.2012.

---

**Judgment of the General Court of 18 September 2014 — Holcim (Romania) v Commission**  
(Case T-317/12) <sup>(1)</sup>

*(Non-contractual liability — Scheme for greenhouse gas emission allowance trading — Liability for fault — Commission's refusal to disclose information on and to prohibit all transactions involving emission allowances allegedly stolen — Sufficiently serious breach of a rule of law conferring rights on individuals — Strict liability)*

(2014/C 388/13)

Language of the case: English

**Parties**

*Applicant:* Holcim (Romania) SA (Bucharest, Romania) (represented by: L. Arnauts, lawyer)

*Defendant:* European Commission (represented by: K. Mifsud-Bonnici and E. White, Agents)

**Re:**

First, an application, based on liability for fault, seeking compensation for the damage allegedly sustained by the applicant because of the Commission's refusal to disclose to it information concerning greenhouse gas emission allowances allegedly stolen from it and to prohibit all transactions involving those allowances and, secondly, an application for damages on the basis of strict liability.

**Operative part of the judgment**

*The Court:*

1. Dismisses the action;
2. Orders Holcim (Romania) SA to bear its own costs and to pay the costs of the European Commission.

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ C 287, 22.9.2012.

---

**Judgment of the General Court of 24 September 2014 — Sanofi v OHIM — GP Pharm (GEPRAL)**  
(Case T-493/12) <sup>(1)</sup>

*(Community trade mark — Opposition proceedings — International registration designating the European Community — Word mark GEPRAL — Earlier international word mark DELPRAL — Relative ground for refusal — Likelihood of confusion — Article 8(1)(b) of Regulation (EC) No 207/2009)*

(2014/C 388/14)

Language of the case: English

**Parties**

*Applicant:* Sanofi SA (Paris, France) (represented by: C. Hertz-Eichenrode, lawyer)

*Defendant:* Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (represented by: P. Geroulakos, acting as Agent)