

Judgment of the General Court of 18 September 2014 — Georgias and Others v Council and Commission

(Case T-168/12) ⁽¹⁾

(Common foreign and security policy — Restrictive measures adopted against certain persons and entities in view of the situation in Zimbabwe — Freezing of funds — Non-contractual liability — Causal link — Sufficiently serious breach of a rule of law intended to confer rights on individuals — Manifest error of assessment — Obligation to state reasons)

(2014/C 388/10)

Language of the case: English

Parties

Applicants: Aguy Clement Georgias (Harare, Zimbabwe); Trinity Engineering (Private) Ltd (Harare); and Georgiadis Trucking (Private) Ltd (Harare) (represented initially by M. Robson and E. Goulder, Solicitors, and H. Mercer QC, and subsequently by M. Robson, H. Mercer QC and I. Quirk, Barrister)

Defendants: Council of the European Union (represented by: B. Driessen and G. Étienne, acting as Agents) and European Commission (represented by: M. Konstantinidis and S. Bartelt, acting as Agents)

Re:

Application for compensation for the damage allegedly suffered by the applicants following the adoption of Commission Regulation (EC) No 412/2007 of 16 April 2007 amending Council Regulation (EC) No 314/2004 concerning certain restrictive measures in respect of Zimbabwe (OJ 2007 L 101, p. 6).

Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

1. Dismisses the action;
2. Orders Mr Aguy Clement Georgias, Trinity Engineering (Private) Ltd and Georgiadis Trucking (Private) Ltd to bear their own costs and to pay those incurred by the Council of the European Union and the European Commission.

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 165, 9.6.2012.

Judgment of the General Court of 23 September 2014 — Nuna International v OHIM — Nanu-Nana Joachim Hoepf (nuna)

(Case T-195/12) ⁽¹⁾

(Community trade mark — Opposition proceedings — Application for the Community figurative mark nuna — Earlier Community word marks NANA and NANU-NANA — Relative ground for refusal — No likelihood of confusion — No similarity between the goods — Article 8(1)(b) of Regulation (EC) No 207/2009)

(2014/C 388/11)

Language of the case: English

Parties

Applicant: Nuna International BV (Erp, Netherlands) (represented by: A. Alpera Plazas, lawyer)

Defendant: Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (represented by: V. Melgar, acting as Agent)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal of OHIM, intervener before the General Court: Nanu-Nana Joachim Hoepf GmbH & Co. KG (Bremen, Germany) (represented by: A. Nordemann, lawyer)