

Parties to the main proceedings

Applicants: Francesco Fierro, Fabiana Marmorale

Defendants: Edoardo Ronchi, Cosimo Scocozza

Re:

Request for a preliminary ruling — Tribunale di Tivoli — Interpretation of Article 1 of Protocol No 1 to the European Convention on Human Rights, read in conjunction with Article 6 TEU and Articles 17 and 52(3) of the Charter of Fundamental Rights — Right to property — National legislation allowing local administrative authorities to prohibit an owner from selling a part of his building without prior authorisation

Operative part of the order

The Court of Justice of the European Union clearly has no jurisdiction to reply to the question referred for a preliminary ruling by the Tribunale di Tivoli (Italy).

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 141, 18.05.2013.

Request for a preliminary ruling from the Fővárosi Törvényszék (Hungary) lodged on 3 October 2012 — Hardimpex Kft (in liquidation) v Nemzeti Adó- és Vámhivatal Kiemelt Ügyek és Adózók Adó Főigazgatósága

(Case C-444/12)

(2013/C 225/102)

Language of the case: Hungarian

Referring court

Fővárosi Törvényszék (Budapest Municipal Court)

Parties to the main proceedings

Applicant: Hardimpex Kft (in liquidation)

Defendant: Nemzeti Adó- és Vámhivatal Kiemelt Ügyek és Adózók Adó Főigazgatósága

Question referred

Must Article 168(a) of Council Directive 2006/112/EC of 28 November 2006 on the common system of value added tax be interpreted as meaning that it precludes a tax authority from refusing a taxable person the right to deduct from the amount of VAT which it owes the amount of VAT paid or to be paid on a supply of goods

to it, because an earlier transaction in the chain of supply was irregular under the VAT legislation or because that taxable person can be criticised for having failed to check the origin of the goods featuring in the invoices issued by its supplier, without the tax authority demonstrating to the requisite legal standard that the taxable person knew or should have known of that irregularity?

Appeal brought on 18 October 2012 by Plamen Simov against the order of the General Court delivered on 13 September 2012 in Case T-271/12 Plamen Simov v European Commission and Republic of Bulgaria

(Case C-465/12 P)

(2013/C 225/103)

Language of the case: Bulgarian

Parties

Appellant: Plamen Simov

Other parties to the proceedings: European Commission and Republic of Bulgaria

By order of 21 March 2013, the Court of Justice (Sixth Chamber) declared the appeal manifestly inadmissible.

Appeal brought on 17 September 2012 by Holding kompanija Interspeed a.d. against the judgment delivered on 10 July 2012 in Case T-587/10 Holding kompanija Interspeed a.d. v Commission

(Case C-471/12 P)

(2013/C 225/104)

Language of the case: Slovene

Parties

Appellant: Holding kompanija Interspeed a.d. (represented by D. Komlensky and P. Komljenovic, odvetnika)

Other party to the proceedings: European Commission

By order of 20 June 2013, the Court (Seventh Chamber) hereby:

1. Dismisses the application for legal aid.
2. Dismisses the appeal.

3. Orders Holding kompanija Interspeed a.d. to bear its own costs.

Appeal brought on 23 November 2012 by Rafael Faet Oltra against the order of the General Court (Seventh Chamber) delivered on 20 September 2012 in Case T-294/12 Rafael Faet Oltra v European Ombudsman

(Case C-535/12 P)

(2013/C 225/105)

Language of the case: Spanish

Parties

Appellant: Rafael Faet Oltra (represented by: R. Faet Oltra, abogado)

Other party to the proceedings: European Ombudsman

By order of 6 June 2013, the Court of Justice (Sixth Chamber) dismissed the appeal.

Order of the President of the Court of 22 May 2013 (request for a preliminary ruling from the Bundesgerichtshof — Germany) — Sandra Schüsslbauer, Martin Schüsslbauer, Maximilian Schüsslbauer (C-436/11), Ekkerhard Schauß (C-437/11) v Iberia Líneas Aéreas de España SA (C-436/11), Transportes Aéreos Portugueses SA (C-437/11)

(Joined Cases C-436/11 and C-437/11) ⁽¹⁾

(2013/C 225/106)

Language of the case: German

The President of the Court has ordered that the cases be removed from the register.

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 331, 12.11.2011.

Order of the President of the Court of 24 April 2013 — Christoph Becker v Société Air France SA

(Case C-594/11) ⁽¹⁾

(2013/C 225/107)

Language of the case: German

The President of the Court has ordered that the case be removed from the register.

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 32, 4.2.2012.

Order of the President of the Ninth Chamber of the Court of 12 June 2013 — European Commission v Federal Republic of Germany

(Case C-29/12) ⁽¹⁾

(2013/C 225/108)

Language of the case: German

The President of the Ninth Chamber has ordered that the case be removed from the register.

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 98, 31.3.2012.

Order of the President of the Tenth Chamber of the Court of 7 May 2013 — European Commission v French Republic

(Case C-76/12) ⁽¹⁾

(2013/C 225/109)

Language of the case: French

The President of the Tenth Chamber has ordered that the case be removed from the register.

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 133, 5.5.2012.

Order of the President of the Ninth Chamber of the Court of 23 April 2013 (request for a preliminary ruling from the Bundesfinanzhof — Germany) — Edgar Brück v Agentur für Arbeit Villingen-Schwenningen — Familienkasse

(Case C-126/12) ⁽¹⁾

(2013/C 225/110)

Language of the case: German

The President of the Ninth Chamber has ordered that the case be removed from the register.

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 184, 23.6.2012.

Order of the President of the Court of 7 May 2013 — European Commission v Federal Republic of Germany supported by the Slovak Republic

(Case C-146/12) ⁽¹⁾

(2013/C 225/111)

Language of the case: German

The President of the Court has ordered that the case be removed from the register.

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 157, 2.6.2012.