

Order of the General Court of 9 July 2012 — Pigui v Commission(Case T-382/11) ⁽¹⁾*(Action for failure to act — Position taken — Application for directions to be issued — Manifest inadmissibility)*

(2012/C 273/14)

Language of the case: English

Parties*Applicant:* Cristina Pigui (Strejnic, Romania) (represented by: M. Alexe, lawyer)*Defendant:* European Commission (represented by: J. Enegren and D. Roussanov, Agents)**Re:**

Action for failure to act, seeking a declaration that the European Commission unlawfully failed to define its position on the applicant's request, first, to initiate, pursuant to Articles 4 and 15 of Decision No 1720/2006/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 15 November 2006 establishing an action programme in the field of lifelong learning (OJ 2006 L 327, p. 45), an investigation into the Online Master organised by the European Online Academy (EOA), founded by the Centre international de formation européenne (CIFE), in cooperation with the Jean Monnet Chair at the University of Cologne (Germany), and, second, to take all measures provided for by Article 6 of that decision in order to prevent further illegal conduct, to restore the situation *ab initio* of those persons affected by such illegal conduct or, at least, in so far as the applicant is concerned, and, lastly, to withdraw the funding for that Master if it fails to comply with key human rights principles, to which reference is made in Article 1(3)(i) of that decision, and relevant principles of European Union law.

Operative part of the order

1. *The action is dismissed as manifestly inadmissible.*
2. *Cristina Pigui shall pay the costs.*

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 282, 24.9.2011.

Order of the General Court of 3 July 2012 — Ghreiwati v Council(Case T-543/11) ⁽¹⁾*(Common foreign and security policy — Restrictive measures taken against Syria — Withdrawal from the list of persons concerned — Action for annulment — No need to adjudicate)*

(2012/C 273/15)

Language of the case: French

Parties*Applicant:* Emad Ghreiwati (Al Maliki, Syria) (represented by: P.-F. Gaborit, lawyer)*Defendant:* Council of the European Union (represented by: M.-M. Joséphidès and B. Driessen, Agents)*Intervener in support of the defendant:* European Commission (represented by S. Bartelt and E. Cujo, Agents)**Re:**

Application for annulment, first, of Council Regulation (EU) No 878/2011 of 2 September 2011 amending Regulation (EU) No 442/2011 concerning restrictive measures in view of the situation in Syria (OJ 2011 L 228, p. 1), and of Council Decision 2011/522/CFSP of 2 September 2011 amending Decision 2011/273/CFSP concerning restrictive measures against Syria (OJ 2011 L 228, p. 16) and, second, of Council Regulation (EU) No 950/2011 of 23 September 2011 amending Regulation (EU) No 442/2011 concerning restrictive measures in view of the situation in Syria (OJ 2011 L 247, p. 3), and of Council Decision 2011/628/CFSP of 23 September 2011 amending Decision 2011/273/CFSP concerning restrictive measures against Syria (OJ 2011 L 247, p. 17), in so far as the applicant is named in the list of persons subject to the restrictive measures in view of the situation in Syria.

Operative part of the order

1. *There is no need to adjudicate on the action;*
2. *The Council of the European Union is ordered to pay the costs;*
3. *The European Commission is ordered to bear its own costs.*

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 355, 3.12.2011.