# Operative part of the order

- 1. There is no need to adjudicate on the action.
- 2. The European Commission shall bear its own costs and pay those incurred by ClientEarth, the European Federation for Transport and Environment (T&E), the European Environmental Bureau (EEB) and BirdLife International.

(1) OJ C 134, 22.5.2010.

# Order of the General Court of 9 November 2011 — ClientEarth and Others v Commission

(Case T-449/10) (1)

(Access to documents of the institutions — Regulation (EC) No 1049/2001 — Implied refusal of access — Interest in bringing proceedings — Express decision adopted after the action was brought — No need to adjudicate)

(2012/C 6/31)

Language of the case: English

## **Parties**

Applicants: ClientEarth (London, United Kingdom); European Federation for Transport and Environment (T&E) (Brussels, Belgium); European Environmental Bureau (EEB) (Brussels); and BirdLife International (Brussels) (represented by: S. Hockman QC, and by P. Kirch, lawyer)

Defendant: European Commission (represented by: K. Herrmann and C. ten Dam, acting as Agents)

### Re:

Application for annulment of the implied decision of the Commission of 20 July 2010 refusing access to certain documents relating to drafts of a report on the Global Trade and Environmental Impact Study of the Biofuels Mandate of the European Union.

# Operative part of the order

- 1. There is no need to adjudicate on the action.
- 2. The European Commission shall bear its own costs and pay those incurred by ClientEarth, the European Federation for Transport and Environment (T&E), the European Environmental Bureau (EEB) and BirdLife International.

(1) OJ C 346, 18.12.2010.

Order of the General Court of 9 November 2011 — Glaxo Group v OHIM — Farmodiética (ADVANCE)

(Case T-243/11) (1)

(Community trade mark — Applicant represented by a lawyer who is a not a third person — Inadmissibility)

(2012/C 6/32)

Language of the case: English

#### **Parties**

Applicant: Glaxo Group Ltd (Greenford, United Kingdom) (represented by: O. Benito and C. Mansell, Solicitors)

Defendant: Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (represented by: P. Geroulakos, Agent)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal of OHIM: Farmodiética — Cosmética, Dietética e Produtos Farmacêuticos, L<sup>da</sup> (Estarda de S. Marcos, Portugal)

#### Re:

Action brought against the decision of the Fourth Board of Appeal of OHIM of 25 February 2011 (Case R 665/2010-4), concerning opposition proceedings between Farmodiética — Cosmética, Dietética e Produtos Farmacêuticos, L<sup>da</sup> and Glaxo Group Ltd.

## Operative part of the order

- 1. The action is dismissed as inadmissible.
- 2. Glaxo Group Ltd shall pay the costs.

(1) OJ C 194, 2.7.2011.

Action brought on 12 October 2011 — Spectrum Brands (UK) v OHIM — Philips (STEAM GLIDE)

(Case T-544/11)

(2012/C 6/33)

Language in which the application was lodged: English

## **Parties**

Applicant: Spectrum Brands (UK) Ltd (Manchester, United Kingdom) (represented by: S. Malynicz, Barrister)

Defendant: Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal: Koninklijke Philips Electronics NV (Eindhoven, Netherlands)