

Defendant: Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (represented by: A. Schifko, acting as Agent)

Re:

Action brought against the decision of the First Board of Appeal of OHIM of 6 March 2014 (Case R 1223/2013-1) concerning an application for registration of the figurative sign Deluxe as a Community trade mark.

Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

1. *Dismisses the action;*
2. *Orders Lidl Stiftung & Co. KG to pay the costs.*

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 235, 21.7.2014.

Order of the General Court of 10 December 2014 — Productos Derivados del Acero v Commission

(Case T-388/10) ⁽¹⁾

(Action for annulment — Representation of the parties — No need to adjudicate)

(2015/C 056/21)

Language of the case: Spanish

Parties

Applicant: Productos Derivados del Acero, SA (Catarroja, Spain) (represented initially by M. Escuder Tella, F. Palau-Ramírez and J. Viciano Pastor, lawyers, subsequently by M. Escuder Tella and J. Viciano Pastor, lawyers)

Defendant: European Commission (represented by: F. Castillo de la Torre, F. Castilla Contreras and V. Bottka, acting as Agents)

Re:

Application for annulment of Commission Decision C (2010) 4387 final of 30 June 2010 relating to a proceeding under Article 101 TFEU and Article 53 of the EEA Agreement (Case COMP/38344 — Prestressing steel), amended by Commission Decision C (2010) 6676 final of 30 September 2010 and Commission Decision C (2011) 2269 final of 4 April 2011.

Operative part of the order

1. *There is no longer any need to adjudicate on the action.*
2. *Productos Derivados del Acero, SA shall bear its own costs and pay those incurred by the Commission.*

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 301, 6.11.2010.

Order of the General Court of 15 December 2014 — AQ v Parliament

(Case T-168/11) ⁽¹⁾

(Action for compensation — Compensation for damage suffered by the applicant following the decision of the Parliament to take no further action on his petition — Request to initiate an inquiry into the alleged irregularities in the proceedings before the European Court of Human Rights — Manifest inadmissibility)

(2015/C 056/22)

Language of the case: Polish

Parties

Applicant: AQ (Żary, Poland) (represented by: P. K. Rosiak, lawyer)

Defendant: European Parliament (represented by: K. Zejdová, acting as Agent)

Re:

Action for compensation for the damage suffered by the applicant following the decision of the Parliament to take no further action on his petition requesting the opening of an inquiry into the alleged irregularities in the proceedings before the European Court of Human Rights.

Operative part of the order

1. *The action is dismissed.*
2. *Mr AQ is ordered to bear his own costs and to pay those of the European Parliament.*
3. *The amount of the legal aid to be paid by the cashier of the General Court is fixed at EUR 1 653,36.*

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 250, 18.8.2012.

Order of the General Court of 4 December 2014 — Alstom v Commission

(Case T-164/12) ⁽¹⁾

(Competition — Action for damages brought before a national court — Request for cooperation — Article 15(1) of Regulation (EC) No 1/2003 — Decision of the Commission to transmit information to a national court — Withdrawal of the request — Withdrawal of the decision — No need to adjudicate)

(2015/C 056/23)

Language of the case: English

Parties

Applicant: Alstom (Levallois-Perret, France) (represented: initially by J. Derenne, lawyer, N. Heaton, P. Chaplin and M. Farley, Solicitors, and subsequently by J Derenne, N Heaton and P Chaplin)

Defendant: European Commission (represented by: A. Antoniadis, N. Khan and P. Van Nuffel, acting as Agents)

Intervener in support of the defendant: National Grid Electricity Transmission plc (London, United Kingdom) (represented by: A. Magnus, C. Bryant, E. Coulson, Solicitors, J. Turner QC, D. Beard QC and L. John, Barrister)

Re:

Application for annulment of the Commission's decision, communicated to the applicant by letter of 26 January 2012 of the Director General of the Commission's Directorate-General for Competition under reference D/2012/006840, to accede to the request for cooperation from the High Court of Justice of England and Wales, in so far as it involves the disclosure of information allegedly covered by the obligation of professional secrecy in the applicant's reply to the statement of objections in Case COMP/F/38.899 — Gas insulated switchgear.

Operative part of the order

- 1) *There is no need to adjudicate on the action.*
- 2) *The parties shall bear their own costs, including those incurred in the proceedings for interim measures.*

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 165, 9.6.2012.