

— Infringed the principle of transparency, read in conjunction with Article 102(1) of the Financial Regulation, by refusing to give a definitive answer to the question submitted by the applicant in order to find out whether the Agreement/Assignment had been signed by all the insurers participating in the Marsh consortium and whether that document had been enclosed with the Marsh bid.

---

<sup>(1)</sup> Regulation (EU, Euratom) No 966/2012 of the European Parliament and the Council of 25 October 2012 on the financial rules applicable to the general budget of the Union and repealing Council Regulation (EC, Euratom) No 1605/2002, (OJ 2012 L 298, p. 1).

<sup>(2)</sup> Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) No 1268/2012 of 29 October 2012 on the rules of application of Regulation (EU, Euratom) No 966/2012 of the European Parliament and of the Council on the financial rules applicable to the general budget of the Union (OJ 2012 L 362, p. 1).

---

**Order of the General Court of 24 March 2014 — High Tech v OHIM — Vitra Collections (Shape of a chair)**

**(Case T-161/11) <sup>(1)</sup>**

(2014/C 159/50)

*Language of the case: English*

The President of the Second Chamber has ordered that the case be removed from the register.

---

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ C 139, 7.5.2011.

---