

**Operative part of the judgment**

The Court:

1. Dismisses the action.
2. Orders El Corte Inglés, SA to pay the costs.

\_\_\_\_\_

(<sup>1</sup>) OJ C 13, 14.1.2012.

**Judgment of the General Court of 19 March 2013 — Yueqing Onesto Electric v OHIM — Ensto (ONESTO)**

(Case T-624/11) (<sup>1</sup>)

*(Community trade mark — Opposition proceedings — International registration designating the European Community — Figurative mark ONESTO — Earlier Community figurative mark ENSTO — Relative ground for refusal — Likelihood of confusion — Article 8(1)(b) of Regulation (EC) No 207/2009)*

(2013/C 129/37)

Language of the case: English

**Parties**

*Applicant:* Yueqing Onesto Electric Co. Ltd (Zhejiang, China) (represented by: B. Piepenbrink, lawyer)

*Defendant:* Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (represented by: V. Melgar, Agent)

*Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal of OHIM, intervener before the General Court:* Ensto Oy (Porvoo, Finland) (represented by: F. Teixeira Baptista, lawyer)

**Re:**

Action brought against the decision of the Second Board of Appeal of OHIM of 20 September 2011 (Case R 2535/2010-2), concerning opposition proceedings between Ensto Oy and Yueqing Onesto Electric Co. Ltd.

**Operative part of the judgment**

The Court:

1. Dismisses the action;
2. Orders Yueqing Onesto Electric Co. Ltd to pay the costs.

\_\_\_\_\_

(<sup>1</sup>) OJ C 32, 4.2.2012.

**Judgment of the General Court of 20 March 2013 — Bimbo v OHIM — Café do Brasil (Caffè KIMBO)**

(Case T-277/12) (<sup>1</sup>)

*(Community trade mark — Opposition proceedings — Application for the Community figurative mark Caffè KIMBO — Earlier national word mark BIMBO — Relative grounds for refusal — Well-known trade mark within the meaning of Article 6 bis of the Paris Convention — Article 8(1) of Regulation (EC) No 207/2009)*

(2013/C 129/38)

Language of the case: English

**Parties**

*Applicant:* Bimbo, SA (Barcelona, Spain) (represented by: J. Carbonell Callicó, lawyer)

*Defendant:* Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (represented by: A. Folliard-Monguiral, Agent)

*Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal of OHIM, intervener before the General Court:* Café do Brasil SpA (Melito di Napoli, Italy) (represented by: M. Mostardini, G. Galimberti and F. Melluci, lawyers)

**Re:**

Action brought against the decision of the Fourth Board of Appeal of OHIM of 15 May 2012 (Case R 1017/2011-4), relating to opposition proceedings between Bimbo, SA and Café do Brasil SpA

**Operative part of the judgment**

The Court:

1. Dismisses the action;
2. Orders Bimbo, SA, to pay the costs.

\_\_\_\_\_

(<sup>1</sup>) OJ C 273, 8.9.2012.

**Order of the General Court of 7 March 2013 — UOP v Commission**

(Case T-198/09) (<sup>1</sup>)

*(Action for annulment — State aid — No individual concern — Inadmissibility)*

(2013/C 129/39)

Language of the case: English

**Parties**

*Applicant:* UOP Ltd (Guildford, United Kingdom) (represented by: B. Hartnett and O. Geiss, lawyers)