

- Council implementing Regulation (EU) No 668/2010 of 26 July 2010 implementing Article 7(2) of Regulation (EC) No 423/2007 concerning restrictive measures against Iran
- Council Decision 2010/644/CFSP of 25 October 2010 amending Decision 2010/413/CFSP concerning restrictive measures against Iran and repealing Common Position 2007/140/CFSP
- Council Regulation (EU) No 961/2010 of 25 October 2010 on restrictive measures against Iran and repealing Regulation (EC) No 423/2007
3. Declares that the effects of Decision 2010/413, as amended by Decision 2010/644, are to be maintained as far as concerns Manufacturing Support & Procurement Kala Naft Co. Tehran from its entry into force on the 20th day following its publication in the Official Journal of the European Union until the annulment of regulation No 961/2010 takes effect.
4. Orders the Council of the European Union to bear its own costs and to by those incurred by Manufacturing Support & Procurement Kala Naft Co. Tehran.
5. Orders the European Commission to bear its own costs.

(¹) OJ C 346, 18.12.2010.

Judgment of the General Court of 25 April 2012 — Brainlab v OHIM (BrainLAB)

(Case T-326/11) (¹)

(Community trade mark — Community word mark BrainLAB — Failure to apply for renewal of the registration of the trade mark — Removal of the trade mark from the register on expiry of registration — Application for restitutio in integrum — Article 81 of Regulation (EC) No 207/2009)

(2012/C 165/32)

Language of the case: German

Parties

Applicant: Brainlab AG (Feldkirchen, Germany) (represented by: J. Bauer, lawyer)

Defendant: Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (represented by: R. Manea, acting as Agent)

Re:

Action brought against the decision of the Fourth Board of Appeal of OHIM of 15 April 2011 (Case R 1596/2010-4), relating to the application for *restitutio in integrum* and to the application for the renewal of the registration of the trade mark BrainLAB made by the applicant.

Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

1. Annuls the decision of the Fourth Board of Appeal of the Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (OHIM) of 15 April 2011 (Case R 1596/2010-4);

2. Orders each party to bear its own costs.

(¹) OJ C 269, 10.9.2011.

Judgment of the General Court of 24 April 2012 — Leifheit v OHIM (EcoPerfect)

(Case T-328/11) (¹)

(Community trade mark — Application for Community word mark EcoPerfect — Absolute grounds for refusal — Descriptive character — Article 7(1)(c) of Regulation (EC) No 207/2009)

(2012/C 165/33)

Language of the case: German

Parties

Applicant: Leifheit AG (Nassau, Germany) (represented by: G. Hasselblatt, lawyer)

Defendant: Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (represented by: K. Klüpfel, Agent)

Re:

Action brought against the decision of the First Board of Appeal of OHIM of 31 March 2011 (Case R 1658/2010-1) concerning an application for registration of the word sign EcoPerfect as a Community trade mark.

Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

1. Dismisses the application;

2. Orders Leifheit AG to pay the costs.

(¹) OJ C 269, 10.9.2011.

Action brought on 12 April 2012 — AX v Council

(Case T-196/11)

(2012/C 165/34)

Language in which the application was lodged: French

Parties

Applicant: AX (Polotsk, Belarus) (represented by: M. Michaluskas, lawyer)

Defendant: Council of the European Union