GENERAL COURT

Judgment of the General Court of 8 April 2014 — ABN Amro Group v Commission

(Case T-319/11) (1)

(State aid — Financial sector — Aid intended to remedy a serious disturbance in the economy of a Member State — Article 107(3)(b) TFEU — Decision declaring the aid compatible with the internal market — Conditions of authorisation of the aid — Prohibition of carrying out acquisitions — Conformity with the Commission's notices concerning aid to the financial sector in the context of the financial crisis — Proportionality — Equal treatment — Principle of sound administration — Duty to state reasons — Right of property)

(2014/C 159/29)

Language of the case: English

Parties

Applicant: ABN Amro Group NV (Amsterdam, Netherlands) (represented by: W. Knibbeler and P. van den Berg, lawyers)

Defendant: European Commission (represented by: L. Flynn and S. Noë, acting as Agents)

Re:

Annulment in part of Commission Decision 2011/823/EU of 5 April 2011 on the measures C 11/09 (ex NN 53b/08, NN 2/10 and N 19/10) implemented by the Dutch State for ABN Amro Group NV (created following the merger between Fortis Bank Nederland and ABN Amro N) (OJ 2011 L 333, p. 1).

Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

- 1. Dismisses the action;
- 2. Orders ABN Amro Group NV to pay the costs.
- (1) OJ C 252, 27.8.2011.

Judgment of the General Court of 3 April 2014 — Debonair Trading Internacional v OHIM — Ibercosmetica (SÔ:UNIC)

(Case T-356/12) (1)

(Community trade mark — Opposition proceedings — Application for the Community word mark SÔ: UNIC — Earlier Community and national word marks SO...?, SO...? ONE, SO...? CHIC and non-registered word marks — Relative grounds for refusal — Article 8(1)(b) of Regulation (EC) No 207/2009 — Likelihood of confusion — Family of marks — Article 8(4) of Regulation No 207/2009 — Rule 15(2)(b)(iii) of Regulation (EC) No 2868/95 — Admissibility of the opposition)

(2014/C 159/30)

Language of the case: English

Parties

Applicant: Debonair Trading Internacional Lda (Funchal, Portugal) (represented by: T. Alkin, Barrister)

Defendant: Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (represented by: L. Rampini, acting as Agent)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal of OHIM: Ibercosmetica SA de CV (Mexico City, Mexico)