

Re:

Appeal brought against the judgment of the General Court (Third Chamber) of 9 September 2011 in Case T-25/06 *Alliance One International v Commission* dismissing an action for annulment in part of Commission Decision 2006/901/EC of 20 October 2005 relating to a proceeding under Article 81(1) of the EC Treaty (Case COMP/C.38.281/B.2 — Raw tobacco — Italy) (notified under document number C(2005) 4012) (OJ 2006 L 353, p. 45) concerning a cartel designed to fix prices paid to producers and other intermediaries and to share suppliers in the Italian raw tobacco market, and reduction of the fine imposed on the appellant.

Operative part of the order

1. *The appeal is dismissed.*

2. *Alliance One International Inc. shall pay the costs.*

(¹) OJ C 25, 28.1.2012.

Order of the Court (Sixth Chamber) of 21 November 2012 (request for a preliminary ruling from the Jurisdiction de Proximité, Chartres — France) — Hervé Fontaine v Mutuelle Générale de l'Éducation Nationale

(Case C-603/11) (¹)

(Competition — Articles 101 TFEU and 102 TFEU — Supplementary health insurance — Mutual companies entering into state health service agreements with the practitioners of their choice — Difference in treatment — Manifest inadmissibility)

(2013/C 108/08)

Language of the case: French

Referring court

Jurisdiction de Proximité, Chartres

Parties to the main proceedings

Applicant: Hervé Fontaine

Defendant: Mutuelle Générale de l'Éducation Nationale

Re:

Reference for a preliminary ruling — Jurisdiction de Proximité, Chartres — Interpretation of Articles 101 and 102 TFEU — Competition — National legislation prohibiting mutual companies providing supplementary health insurance from varying their benefits according to the conditions for issuing

certificates and the services provided — Prohibition on the mutual companies entering into state health service agreements with practitioners of their choice — Difference of treatment in relation to other health insurance companies and institutions governed by the Code des Assurances or the Code de la Sécurité Sociale — Restrictions

Operative part of the order

The reference for a preliminary ruling submitted by the Juge de proximité, Chartres, by decision of 17 November 2011, is manifestly inadmissible.

(¹) OJ C 39, 11.2.2012.

Order of the Court (Ninth Chamber) of 27 November 2012 (request for a preliminary ruling from the Inalta Curte de Casație și Justiție (Romania)) — SC 'AUGUSTUS' Iași SRL v Agenția de Plăți pentru Dezvoltare Rurală și Pescuit

(Case C-627/11) (¹)

(Request for a preliminary ruling — Manifest inadmissibility)

(2013/C 108/09)

Language of the case: Romanian

Referring court

Inalta Curte de Casație și Justiție

Parties to the main proceedings

Applicant: SC 'AUGUSTUS' Iași SRL

Defendant: Agenția de Plăți pentru Dezvoltare Rurală și Pescuit

Re:

Request for a preliminary ruling — Inalta Curte de Casație și Justiție — Interpretation of Council Regulation (EC) No 1268/1999 of 21 June 1999 on Community support for pre-accession measures for agriculture and rural development in the applicant countries of central and eastern Europe in the pre-accession period (OJ 1999 L 161, p. 87) and Council Regulation (EC) No 1260/1999 of 21 June 1999 laying down general provisions on the Structural Funds (OJ 1999 L 161, p. 1) — Cancellation and recovery, in the event of improper conduct, of Community funding granted under the SAPARD programme — Eligibility of expenditure — Cases of force majeure — Justification — Notions of 'economic efficiency' and 'profitability'