

— third plea, in the alternative, based on breach of the principle of non-discrimination in the fixing of the conditions of the payment of the fine and breach of the obligation to state the reasons on which the decision is based.

Action brought on 14 December 2010 — Trefilerías Quijano v Commission

(Case T-576/10)

(2011/C 55/51)

Language of the case: Spanish

Parties

Applicant: Trefilerías Quijano, S.A. (Los Corrales de Buelna, Spain) (represented by F. González Díaz and A. Tresandi Blanco, lawyers)

Defendant: European Commission

Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Court should:

— annul, pursuant to Article 263 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, the decision of the European Commission of 30 September 2010 amending the decision of 30 June 2010 (C(2010) 4837 final in Case COMP/38.344 — prestressing steel);

— in the alternative, annul, pursuant to Article 263 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, Article 2 of the decision of the European Commission of 30 September 2010 amending the decision of 30 June 2010 (C(2010) 4837 final in Case COMP/38.344 — prestressing steel) insofar as it entails an infringement of the principle of non-discrimination in not having extended to TQ the additional period for payment of the fine, and fails to state reasons; and

— order the European Commission to pay the costs.

Pleas in law and main arguments

The pleas in law and main arguments are those raised in Case T-575/10 *Moreda-Riviere Trefilerías v Commission*.

Action brought on 14 December 2010 — Trenzas y Cables de Acero v Commission

(Case T-577/10)

(2011/C 55/52)

Language of the case: Spanish

Parties

Applicant: Trenzas y Cables de Acero PSC, SL (Santander, Spain) (represented by F. González Díaz and A. Tresandi Blanco, lawyers)

Defendant: European Commission

Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Court should:

— annul, pursuant to Article 263 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, the decision of the European Commission of 30 September 2010 amending the decision of 30 June 2010 (C(2010) 4837 final in Case COMP/38.344 — prestressing steel);

— in the alternative, annul, pursuant to Article 263 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, Article 2 of the decision of the European Commission of 30 September 2010 amending the decision of 30 June 2010 (C(2010) 4837 final in Case COMP/38.344 — prestressing steel) insofar as it entails an infringement of the principle of non-discrimination in not having extended to TYCSA PSC the additional period for payment of the fine, and fails to state reasons; and

— order the European Commission to pay the costs.

Pleas in law and main arguments

The pleas in law and main arguments are those already raised in Case T-575/10 *Moreda-Riviere Trefilerías v Commission*.

Action brought on 14 December 2010 — Global Steel Wire v Commission

(Case T-578/10)

(2011/C 55/53)

Language of the case: Spanish

Parties

Applicant: Global Steel Wire, SA (Cerdanyola des Vallés, Spain) (represented by F. González Díaz and A. Tresandi Blanco, lawyers)