

GENERAL COURT

Order of the General Court of 7 May 2014 — *Evropaiki Dynamiki v Commission*

(Case T-511/10) ⁽¹⁾

(Access to documents — Regulation (EC) No 1049/2001 — Requests for quotations — Refusal of access — Replacement of the contested measure in the course of proceedings — Failure to adapt the claim for annulment — No need to adjudicate)

(2014/C 329/14)

Language of the case: English

Parties

Applicant: Evropaiki Dynamiki — Proigmena Systimata Tilepikoinonion Pliroforikis kai Tilematikis AE (Athens (Greece)) (represented by: N. Korogiannakis and M. Dermitzakis, lawyers)

Defendant: European Commission (represented by: P. Costa de Oliveira, E. Manhaeve and C. ten Dam, acting as Agents)

Re:

Application for annulment of Commission Decision Ares(2010)508190 of 12 August 2010 refusing access to requests for quotations concerning certain framework contracts managed by the Publications Office of the European Union.

Operative part of the order

1. *There is no longer any need to adjudicate on the action.*
2. *Evropaiki Dynamiki — Proigmena Systimata Tilepikoinonion Pliroforikis kai Tilematikis AE and the European Commission are to bear their own costs.*

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 15, 15.1.2011.

Order of the General Court of 4 July 2014 — *Uspaskich v Parliament*

(Case T-84/12) ⁽¹⁾

(Action for annulment and compensation — Privileges and immunities — Member of the European Parliament — Decision to waive immunity — Re-examination — Decision not to defend immunity — Inadmissibility — Manifest inadmissibility)

(2014/C 329/15)

Language of the case: Lithuanian

Parties

Applicant: Viktor Uspaskich (Kėdainiai, Lithuania) (represented by: A. Raišutis, lawyer)

Defendant: European Parliament (represented by: N. Lorenz, M. Windisch and L. Mašalaitė-Chouteau, acting as Agents)

Intervener in support of the defendant: Republic of Lithuania (represented by: D. Kriauciūnas and V. Balčiūnaitė, acting as Agents)