

Order of the General Court of 21 May 2010 — ICO Services v Parliament and Council

(Case T-441/08) ⁽¹⁾

(Actions for annulment — Decision No 626/2008/EC — Common framework for the selection and authorisation of operators of systems providing mobile satellite services — Absence of direct concern — Inadmissibility)

(2010/C 195/28)

Language of the case: English

Parties

Applicant: ICO Services Ltd (Slough, Berkshire, United Kingdom) (represented by: S. Tupper, Solicitor)

Defendants: European Parliament (represented by: J. Rodrigues and R. Kaškina, Agents) and Council of the European Union (represented by: G. Kimberley and F. Florindo Gijón, Agents)

Intervener in support of the defendants: European Commission (represented by: M. Wilderspin and A. Nijenhuis, Agents)

Re:

Application for annulment of Decision No 626/2008/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 30 June 2008 on the selection and authorisation of systems providing mobile satellite services (MSS) (OJ 2008 L 172, p. 15)

Operative part of the order

1. *The action is dismissed as inadmissible.*
2. *ICO Services Ltd shall bear its own costs and pay the costs of the European Parliament and of the Council of the European Union.*
3. *The European Commission shall bear its own costs.*

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 6, 10.1.2009.

Order of the General Court of 17 May 2010 — Volkswagen v OHIM — Deutsche BP (SunGasoline)

(Case T-502/08) ⁽¹⁾

(Community trade mark — Opposition — Withdrawal of opposition — No need to rule)

(2010/C 195/29)

Language of the case: German

Parties

Applicant: Volkswagen AG (Wolfsburg, Germany) (represented by: H.-P. Schrammek, C. S. Drzymalla and S. Risthaus, lawyers)

Defendant: Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (OHIM) (represented by: S. Schäffner, acting as Agent)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal of OHIM: Deutsche BP AG (Gelsenkirchen, Germany)

Re:

Action brought against the decision of the Fourth Board of Appeal of OHIM of 19 September 2008 (Case R 513/2007-4), concerning opposition proceedings between Deutsche BP AG and Volkswagen AG

Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

1. *Holds that there is no need to rule on the action;*
2. *Orders the applicant and the defendant to pay their own costs.*

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 44, 21.2.2009.

Order of the General Court of 18 May 2010 — Abertis Infraestructuras v Commission

(Case T-200/09) ⁽¹⁾

(Action for annulment — Concentrations — Decision to close the procedure initiated under Article 21(4) of Regulation (EC) No 139/2004 — Period within which proceedings must be brought — Starting point — Inadmissibility)

(2010/C 195/30)

Language of the case: Spanish

Parties

Applicant: Abertis Infraestructuras, SA (Barcelona, Spain) (represented by: M. Roca Junyent and P. Callol García, lawyers)