

Re:

Action for partial annulment of Commission Decision C(2009) 10350 of 22 December 2009, incorporating a reduction in the European Regional Development Fund (ERDF) assistance granted in application of Commission Decision C(2000) 2349 of 8 August 2000, incorporating approval of the operational programme POR Puglia for the period 2000-2006, on the basis of Objective No 1.

Operative part of the order

1. *The action is dismissed as inadmissible.*
2. *The Regione Puglia will bear its own costs and those incurred by the European Commission, including the costs related to the interlocutory proceedings.*

(¹) OJ C 113, 1.5.2010.

**Order of the General Court of 14 September 2011 —
Regione Puglia v Commission**

(Case T-223/10) (¹)

(ERDF — Reduction of financial assistance — Withdrawal of the contested debit note — Cessation of existence of the dispute — No need to adjudicate)

(2011/C 331/40)

Language of the case: Italian

Parties

Applicant: Regione Puglia (Bari, Italy) (represented by: F. Brunelli and A. Aloia, lawyers)

Defendant: European Commission (represented by: L. Prete and A. Steiblytė, agents)

Re:

Action for annulment of debit note No 3241001630 of 26 February 2010 concerning Commission Decision C(2009) 10350 of 22 December 2009, incorporating a reduction in the European Regional Development Fund (ERDF) assistance granted in application of Commission Decision C(2000) 2349 of 8 August 2000, incorporating approval of the operational programme POR Puglia for the period 2000-2006, on the basis of Objective No 1.

Operative part of the order

1. *There is no longer any need to adjudicate on the action.*
2. *Each party shall bear its own costs relating to the present proceedings and the Regione Puglia shall bear the costs relating to the interlocutory proceedings.*

(¹) OJ C 179, 3.7.2010.

**Order of the General Court of 14 September 2011 — Italy
v Commission**

(Case T-239/10) (¹)

(ERDF — Reduction of financial assistance — Withdrawal of the contested debit note — Cessation of existence of the dispute — No need to adjudicate)

(2011/C 331/41)

Language of the case: Italian

Parties

Applicant: Italian Republic (represented by: P. Gentili, avvocato dello Stato)

Defendant: European Commission (represented by: L. Prete and A. Steiblytė, agents)

Re:

Action for annulment of debit note No 3241001630 of 26 February 2010 concerning Commission Decision C(2009) 10350 of 22 December 2009, incorporating a reduction in the European Regional Development Fund (ERDF) assistance granted in application of Commission Decision C(2000) 2349 of 8 August 2000, incorporating approval of the operational programme POR Puglia for the period 2000-2006, on the basis of Objective No 1.

Operative part of the order

1. *There is no longer any need to adjudicate on the action.*
2. *Each party shall bear its own costs.*

(¹) OJ C 195, 17.7.2010.

**Order of the General Court of 13 September 2011 — ara v
OHIM**

(Case T-397/10) (¹)

(Community trade mark — Opposition procedure — Failure to submit the statement of grounds of appeal to the Board of Appeal within the prescribed time-limit Decision of the Board of Appeal rejecting an application of the full re-establishment of the applicant's rights — Action manifestly lacking a legal basis)

(2011/C 331/42)

Language of the case: German

Parties

Applicant: ara AG (Langenfeld, Germany) (represented by: M. Gail, lawyer)

Defendant: Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (represented by: G. Schneider, Agent)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal of OHIM: Allrounder SARL (Sarrebouurg, France)

Re:

Action brought against the decision of the First Board of Appeal of OHIM of 23 June 2010 (Case R 1543/2009-1) concerning the applicant's application for full re-establishment of the its rights

Operative part of the order

1. *The application is dismissed as manifestly lacking a legal basis.*
2. *ara AG is ordered to pay the costs.*

(¹) OJ C 301, 6.11.2010.

Order of the General Court of 9 September 2011 — Bides v OHIM — Manasul Internacional (BIESUL)

(Case T-597/10) (¹)

(Community trade mark — Opposition proceedings — Revocation of the decision of the Board of Appeal — Cessation of existence of dispute — No need to adjudicate)

(2011/C 331/43)

Language of the case: Spanish

Parties

Applicant: Bides, SL (Madrid, Spain) (represented by: E. Manresa Medina, lawyer)

Defendant: Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (represented by: V. Melgar, agent)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal of OHIM: Manasul Internacional, SL

Re:

Action brought against the decision of the First Board of Appeal of OHIM of 23 September 2010 (Case R 1519/2009-1) concerning opposition proceedings between Manasul Internacional, SL and Bides, SL.

Operative part of the order

1. *There is no further need to adjudicate on the present action.*
2. *The Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs)(OHIM) shall bear the costs.*

(¹) OJ C 80, 12.3.2011.

Order of the General Court of 9 September 2011 — Bides v OHIM — Manasul Internacional (LINEASUL)

(Case T-598/10) (¹)

(Community trade mark — Opposition proceedings — Revocation of the decision of the Board of Appeal — Cessation of existence of dispute — No need to adjudicate)

(2011/C 331/44)

Language of the case: Spanish

Parties

Applicant: Bides SL (Madrid, Spain) (represented by: E. Manresa Medina, lawyer)

Defendant: Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (represented by: V. Melgar, agent)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal of OHIM: Manasul Internacional, SL (Ponferrada, Spain)

Re:

Action brought against the decision of the First Board of Appeal of OHIM of 23 September 2010 (Case R 1520/2009-1) concerning opposition proceedings between Manasul Internacional, SL and Bides, SL.

Operative part of the order

1. *There is no further need to adjudicate on the present action.*
2. *The Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (OHIM) shall pay the costs.*

(¹) OJ C 80, 12.3.2011.

Action brought on 23 June 2011 — Republic of Bulgaria v Commission

(Case T-335/11)

(2011/C 331/45)

Language of the case: Bulgarian

Parties

Applicant: Republic of Bulgaria (represented by: Tsvetko Ivanov and Elina Petranova)

Defendant: European Commission

Re:

Application for annulment of the Commission Implementing Decision of 15 April 2011 excluding from European Union financing certain expenditure incurred by the Member States under the Guarantee Section of the European Agricultural Guidance and Guarantee Fund (EAGGF), under the European Agricultural Guarantee Fund (EAGF) and under the European Agricultural Fund for Rural Development (EAFRD) [notified under document C(2011) 2517]

Forms of order sought

The applicant claims that the court should:

- annul the Commission Implementing Decision of 15 April 2011 excluding from European Union financing certain expenditure incurred by the Member States under the Guarantee Section of the European Agricultural Guidance and Guarantee Fund (EAGGF), under the European Agricultural Guarantee Fund (EAGF) and under the European Agricultural Fund for Rural Development (EAFRD) [notified under document C(2011) 2517 (¹)] in so far as it concerns the Republic of Bulgaria, or, in the alternative,