

# GENERAL COURT

## Judgment of the General Court of 11 April 2018 — H v Council

(Case T-271/10 RENV) <sup>(1)</sup>

*(Common foreign and security policy — National staff member seconded to EUPM in Bosnia and Herzegovina — Redeployment decision — Power of the Head of the EUPM to decide on the redeployment of a seconded national staff member — Obligation to state reasons — Misuse of powers — Manifest error of assessment — Psychological harassment)*

(2018/C 182/22)

Language of the case: English

### Parties

*Applicant:* H (represented by: M. Velardo, lawyer)

*Defendant:* Council of the European Union (represented by: A. Vitro and F. Naert, acting as Agents)

### Re:

In the first place, application based on Article 263 TFEU for annulment of (i) the decision of 7 April 2010, signed by the Chief of Personnel of the European Union Police Mission (EUPM) in Bosnia and Herzegovina, by which the applicant was redeployed to the post of Criminal Justice Advisor — Prosecutor at the regional office in Banja Luka (Bosnia and Herzegovina) and (ii) of the decision of 30 April 2010, signed by the Head of EUPM referred to in Article 6 of Council Decision 2009/906/CFSP of 8 December 2009 on the EUPM in Bosnia and Herzegovina (OJ 2009 L 322, p. 22), confirming the decision of 7 April 2010, and in the second place, action on the basis of Article 268 TFEU seeking compensation for the harm allegedly suffered by the applicant.

### Operative part of the judgment

*The Court:*

1. *Dismisses the action;*
2. *Orders H to pay the costs.*

---

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ C 221, 14.8.2010.

---

## Judgment of the General Court of 10 April 2018 — Alcogroup and Alcodis v Commission

(Case T-274/15) <sup>(1)</sup>

*(Action for annulment — Competition — Agreements, decisions and concerted practices — Markets in bioethanol and ethanol — Administrative procedure — Decision ordering an inspection — Commission's powers of investigation — Professional privilege protecting communications between lawyers and their clients — Documents exchanged following a previous inspection — Commission's refusal to suspend the infringement proceedings at issue — Measure not open to challenge — Inadmissibility)*

(2018/C 182/23)

Language of the case: French

### Parties

*Applicants:* Alcogroup (Brussels, Belgium) and Alcodis (Brussels) (represented by: P. de Bandt, J. Dewispelaere and J. Probst, lawyers)